PARA DUX

SUSTAINABLE SOLUTIONS TO SAVE THE GREAT APES





THE GREAT APES

CITATION

Ancrenaz, M., E. Meijaard, S. Wich, J. Simery. 2016. Palm Oil Paradox: Sustainable Solutions to Save the

Great Apes. 2nd edition. UNEP / GRASP. 2016

LAYOUT AND DESIGN

Laura Darby

EDITORIAL SUPPORT

Conor Gask, Daria Pushkareva, Christopher Wade, Koray Yilmaz

COPYRIGHT

UNEP / GRASP, 2016

ISBN

978-92-807-3623-6

PHOTO CREDITS

Creative Commons Licensed Photos

Special Photo Credit to GRASP Partners, Achmad Rabim Taim, BKSDA West Kalimantan, CEPhoto, Cokemono, Delphine Bruyere, Friends of the Earth, Gary Wales, Jabruson, Laura Darby, Lian Pin Koh, Madeleine Hardus, Michael Nichols, NASA, Nick Hobgood, OneVillage Initiative, Rainforest Action Network, Sutanta Aditya, Terry Sunderland, Uwe Aranas, Wildlife Asia, and Zanna Clay.



UN Environment promotes environmentally sound practices globally and in its own activities. This publication is printed on fully recycled paper, FSC certified, post-consumer waste and chlorine-free. Inks are vegetableased and coatings are water-based. Our distribution policy aims to reduce UN Environment's carbon footprint.

EDITORS

Marc Ancrenaz

Erik Meijaard

Serge Wich

Julien Simery





CONTRIBUTORS

- Max Houghton
- **Elizabeth Greengrass**
- Nicola Abram
- Harjinder Kler
- David Jay
- lan Redmond
- Doug Cress



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Editors

Marc Ancrenaz, Hutan, Malaysia and Borneo Futures, Indonesia Erik Meijaard, Borneo Futures, Indonesia and University of Queensland, Australia Serge Wich, Liverpool John Moores University, UK and University of Amsterdam, Netherlands Julien Simery, Great Apes Survival Partnership, Kenya

Contributors

Max Houghton, Liverpool John Moores University, UK Elizabeth Greengrass, The Born Free Foundation, UK Nicola Abram, Living Landscape Alliance, UK Harjinder Kler, Hutan, Malaysia David Jay, Ape Alliance, UK Ian Redmond, Ape Alliance, UK Doug Cress, Great Apes Survival Partnership, Kenya



The editors wish to thank the scientific, conservation, and oil palm industry professionals who contributed their time and expertise to this report.

PALM OIL PARADOX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Text Boxes ii

Images iii

Summary 1

In a Nutshell: Business as Usual Versus Alternative Stategies 2

Findings 3

Recommendations 4

40 Part Two: Expansion of Oil Palm in Africa and Glossary 93 Impacts on Great Apes

Abbreviations 94

References 95

66 Part Three: Supporting Great Ape Conservation Through Responsible Palm Oil





6 Introduction: The Rapid Rise of Palm Oil

- Palm oil: a global commodity on the rise
- Powerful economic driver
- Threats to biodiversity and perception

14 Part One: Oil Palm in Southeast Asia and Implications for Orangutans and People

- Oil palm in Southeast Asia
- Oil palm and orangutan populations
- Mitigation measures to reduce the impact of oil
- palm development on orangutan populations
- Expansion of oil palm in Africa
- African great apes and oil palm plantations
- Case studies: Africa
- The role of policy and certification
- Best Management Practices in oil palm with regard
- to great ape conservation
- HCV assessments and great apes

82 Part Four: Development of Great Apes Guidelines on Lessons Learned from RSPO

A pragmatic approach for creating mosaic landscapes compatible with great ape conservation Promoting stronger and more effective regulations and policies for great ape conservation in oil palm development

90 Part Five: Recommendations

- Key Recommendations for Government
- Key Recommendations for the oil palm Industry
- Key Recommendations for Conservation
- Organizations
- Key Recommendations for Researchers
- Key Recommendations for Financial Institutions

TEXT BOXES IMAGES

Image 1. Annual increase in the extent of cultivated oil palm across Africa, the Americas and Asia, as well as globally, from the year 2000 to 2012. 8

Image 2. Industrial-scale oil palm is grown in large plantations in which few natural forests and other ecosystems are retained. 12

Image 3. 2013/2014 Unprotected forest cover on Country Lease and Native Titles, and on areas with unknown titles in the Kinabatangan region of Eastern Sabah (Malaysian Borneo). 22

Image 4. Estimated extent of failed oil palm if all unprotected forest is converted to oil palm cultivation in the Kinabatangan region of Eastern Sabah (Malaysian Borneo). 22

Image 5. Flooding in Ujoh Bilang Kutai Barat East Kalimantan in June 2006 as an example of a of the social impacts of floods. 24

Image 6. Forest loss between 1973 and 2010 and current forest extent in Borneo. 31

Image 7. An orangutan nest in an oil palm plant and pith of a leaf after being consumed by an orangutan. 31

Image 8. An adult unflanged orangutan male plucking mature fruits directly from a bunch of seeds in an oil palm tree. 34

Image 9. The Kinabatangan landscape is dominated by oil palm, with remaining forest patches tentatively connected

through forest corridors. 37

Image 10. Example of a conservation land use plan in an oil palm plantation, with conservation set-asides varying between a few hundred ha to nearly 2,500 ha, and riverine forest set-asides and forest corridors providing connectivity. 37

Image 11. All land in Africa that is suitable for oil palm plantations. 42

Image 12: Five largest palm oil producers in Africa. 42

Text Box 1. Vegetable oil consumption. 8 Text Box 10. RSPO NEXT. 70

Text Box 11. RSPO P&C and great ape conservation. 72

Text Box 12. Certification and beyond. 73

Text Box 13. Oil palm production and orangutan conservation: a polarized debate. 77

Text Box 14. Great ape monitoring. 79

Text Box 15. Great ape translocations: A last resort solution. 80

Text Box 16. Collaboration to reduce great ape killing. 84

Text Box 17. How small is too small? 86

Text Box 18. Indonesia and the oil palm industry disagree on the 'No-Deforestation Pledge'. 87

Text Box 3. Villagers' perceptions of the benefits

Text Box 3. Villagers' perceptions of the benefits they receive from forests. 20

Text Box 4. Oil palm development, orangutan killing and orphaned orangutans. 23

Text Box 5. Forest conversion and industrial oil palm development in Borneo. 29

Text Box 6. The Rajang Delta in Sarawak. 30

Text Box 7. Wilmar in Africa. 62

Text Box 8. The market value of certification. 67

Text Box 9: Criticism of the RSPO. 68

Image 13. The five largest palm oil exporters in Africa in 2014. 43

Image 14. The five largest palm oil importers worldwide in 2014. 43

Image 15. Annual palm oil production of Nigeria and Côte d'Ivoire from 1964-2014. 44

Image 16. The distribution of apes across Western and Central Africa versus contracted or intended land deals, including oil palm. 51

Image 17. Areas within the ranges of the four great ape species that are suitable for oil palm development from a climatological point of view. 51

Image 18. Percentage of overlap between ape species' distribution and oil palm suitability. 52

Image 19. The percentage overlap between ape distribution and land suitable for oil palm. 53

Image 20. Percentage overlap of oil palm concessions with ape distribution for five countries. 53

Image 21. Herakles Farms planned oil palm concessions in Cameroon. 63

Image 22. Golden Veroleum oil palm concession area in the southeast of Liberia. 63

Image 23. 13 countries now have RSPO certified producers. 73

Image 24. Great ape rescues are a frequently-used tool to save individual animals stranded in forest patches in plantations, often without prosecuting companies for illegally destroying great ape habitat. 79

Image 25. Fictional example of how responsible oil palm companies could play a role stabilizing the forest frontier and reducing other wildlife threats by providing a buffer of well-managed zones adjacent to and overlapping with areas of high conservation importance. 85



SUMMARY

years. From a subsistence crop in Africa to its establishment as a major global commodity in Southeast Asia, this agroindustry has had tremendous positive impacts, but also dramatic negative consequences. The surface of land suitable for oil palm production is shrinking in Southeast Asia, forcing the palm oil industry to return to Africa, and develop new horizons in Central and South America. This expansion requires a careful examination of the advantages and disadvantages of oil palm development and the while minimizing social and environmental costs.

The conservation community cannot afford to sit on the fence with the issues posed by oil palm developments. A strong consensus must be reached on whether the community wants to reject the industry because of its negative impact on ecosystems and wildlife, or if it can accept to co-exist if certain conditions are in place. Based on the industry's trajectory in Southeast Asia and its negative impact on orangutan populations, it is clear that the palm oil industry is here to stay and that without careful planning that same industry could dramatically affect the long-term survival of great apes. A key strategic objective for great ape conservation will be to seek support from the industry to for the benefit of humankind and biodiversity. embed stricter responsible practices for the development of oil palm, which can also be applied to other agricultural commodities.

To develop meaningful recommendations for oil palm development, a better understanding of what drove its expansion in Southeast Asia is needed. From there, it is possible to assess the different strategies that exist to reduce the environmental impacts of oil palm, in particular when they affect orangutans, the only Asian great ape. Focusing on studies produced on Southeast Asia, this report makes specific recommendations for better land

The palm oil industry has grown rapidly over the past 20 use planning and plantation management, but also policy support and market-based incentives such as certification.

To highlight the potential risks to biodiversity in Africa, special attention was given to the anticipated effects on three African great apes: the gorilla, the chimpanzee, and the bonobo. These species inhabit 21 countries in equatorial Africa, but some species and subspecies are confined to small areas, in which case large-scale land conversion could seriously threaten their survival in the wild. For example, the identification of more effective ways to maximize benefits bonobo is only found in the Democratic Republic of Congo and about 98% of its habitat is situated in areas suitable for oil palm cultivation. Looking at different case studies in Cameroon, Nigeria, Liberia and Gabon, where oil palm developments have increased in recent years, this report highlights a range of issues, from legislation surrounding land leases to the local impacts of hunting and loss of great ape habitat.

> With this insight into the development of the industry in Southeast Asia and Africa, it is imperative that the conservation community and the oil palm industry find common ground on which to collaborate, and works towards the development of a global sustainable palm oil strategy

BUSINESS AS USUAL		ALTERNATIVE STRATEGIES
ACTIVITIES	CONSEQUENCES	OPPORTUNITIES
Boycotts and anti-palm oil campaigns	Polarization of the debate that leads to absence of transparency in the industry and a civil society poorly aware of the real challenges and solutions; severe economic impact in terms of image and market	Engaging with the industry directly to promote greater transparency and educate consumers to make more informed choices
No proper land use planning	Concessions allocated on an ad hoc basis; lack of spatial analysis at the landscape level results in fragmented and degraded landscapes with sharp reductions in biodiversity	Jurisdictional approach': land use decisions are made at the highest possible administrative level, including at national, state, and provincial levels
Conversion of great ape habitat / sensitive areas	Destruction and endangerment of ape populations; no certification possible; negative image	Priority ape habitats and populations need to be recognized and set aside as 'no-go' zones; no conversion of peat, flood prone areas, or mangroves; strictly certified companies allowed in 'certified' oil palm zones close to great ape habitats
Destruction of all forests and environmental services	Increased ecological problems, including flooding, pollution, erosion, disease, and negative image of social conflicts; loss of productivity over time	Precise spatial analysis that identifies HCVs, HCSs and other values and keep them 'set-aside'; development of oil palm that retains high yields
Poor consultation with local communities	Social conflict and economic losses	Proper FPIC (Free and Prior Informed Consent) processes are conducted and adhered to; real engagement and empowerment
Poor management of HCVs / ecosystem services	Erosion of ecosystem services; species loss	Need to employ a team of trained professionals that will be in charge of monitoring and managing all HCVs and ecosystem services
Poaching and conflict-related killing	Species loss; extremely negative image	Develop and enforce a strict 'no-kill' policy

IN A NUTSHELL

FINDINGS

Oil palm industry will continue to expand, and saying 'no' to oil palm development will be unlikely to yield positive conservation outcomes in Africa.

Oil palm plantation management and great ape conservation objectives can be reconciled to some extent through bestmanagement practices.

Orangutans require well managed forests within the oil palm matrix to survive, and corridors of natural forest within plantations are essential to allow apes to disperse throughout the entire landscape.

Land use planning must avoid highpriority orangutan habitats if the species are to survive, and avoiding forest areas and peat lands that contain viable populations is the best way to protect the species.

Ecological expertise is required to manage orangutan populations in oil palm areas, and positive outcomes can be achieved through careful management of areas where orangutans and oil palm overlap.

Peat swamp areas, mangroves, water catchments, and floodplains must not be developed for oil palm production as conversion of these areas can lead to outcomes such as increased flooding, soil erosion and temperature increases, which have negative impacts on local communities



RECOMMENDATIONS

Oil palm plantations should not be developed in priority great ape habitat ranges, and 'No-Go' zones for oil palm development must be classified. Suitable areas for oil palm development should not be ignored, and responsible sustainable oil palm is best concentrated in 'certified zones'.

Locating 'certified oil palm zones' close to great ape habitats minimizes the overall impacts of irresponsible production on great apes habitats.

Multi-stakeholder processes must be undertaken for oil palm planning near areas with priority populations of great apes, and strict 'no-kill' policies must be enforced.

Environmental teams must be established in each plantation that are trained to monitor, manage, and protect great apes and high conservation value (HCV) forests.

Land use planning exercises should be developed at national, state, or provincial levels.

Support food security by avoiding areas used for small-scale agriculture or natural resource extraction by local communities.



The global palm oil (*Elaeis guineensis*) industry grew from virtually non-existent in the 1960s to the fourth biggest agricultural commodity in the early 2010s (after soy beans, wheat, and prepared foods) and was worth US\$42 billion in 2011 (FAO 2015). It is one of the most rapidly expanding crops in the world today (Fitzherbert et al. 2008; Sheil et al. 2009; Wich et al. 2014). In 2012, over 17.1 million hectares of permanent cultivated cropland worldwide consisted of oil palm, compared with 15 million in 2009, and 9.97 million in 2000 (Image 1).

Oil palm is now grown in over 40 countries (FAO 2012) and contributes significantly to the global supply of edible oils. In 2013, palm oil accounted for 40% of the 169 million tons of global vegetable and fruit oils produced (RSPO 2014), with predicted global consumption estimated to increase to about 80 million tons by 2020 (Mielke 2013). Of all the palm oil produced globally in 2013, 91% originated from Southeast Asia, with Indonesia and Malaysia contributing 51% and 36% respectively (FAO 2015). Some estimates suggest that as much as half of packaged consumer goods contain palm oil, indicating the great versatility of palm oil, its high yields, and its low production costs. The huge opportunities in terms of socio-economic development for exporting countries represented by this tropical crop and the high global demand explain why this





industry is currently expanding further into tropical Africa and Central and South America (FAO 2012; Gilbert 2012).

Compared to other vegetable oils such as rapeseed and soy, palm oil consistently achieves the fastest production growth (Carrasco et al. 2014; Fitzherbert et al. 2008) because it has the highest yield by land area of all the vegetable oil crops (Kurki et al. 2014). Another reason why this crop has proved so successful is that two separate oils can be extracted from the fruit—palm kernel oil (PKO) and crude palm oil (CPO)—and because up to 87% of its output is produced as oil, as opposed to 20% for soy bean and 40% for rapeseed and sunflower (HCS 2015). Palm oil has also played a significant role in the expansion of the biofuel industry, representing another important demand for the product (Gilbert 2012; Savage 2011).

Oil palm plantation development has been exceptionally high in recent years in response to high prices for crude palm oil driven by higher global demand (Sheil et al. 2009). This is expected to lead to a further rapid expansion in palm oil production in years to come (Fry & Fitton 2010). Different countries make different decisions on which vegetable oil to favor (Text Box 1); decisions are influenced by national regulations, public perceptions, local industry demand, and other factors. Image 1. Annual increase in the extent (ha) of cultivated oil palm across Africa, the Americas and Asia, as well as globally, from the year 1990 to 2012 (data sourced from FAO, 2012).



TEXT BOX 1: VEGETABLE OIL CONSUMPTION

At the global scale, the palm oil industry is competing with producers of other vegetable oils, produced from olives, rape seed, maize, coconut and soy. The expansion or reduction of the oil palm sector therefore needs to be considered in the light of its impact on other oilproducing crops, each of which has its own environmental and social impacts. Based on past trends and the projection of oil crop output to 2025, the global demand for vegetable oil crops will be achieved through the global expansion of 73 million hectares of oil crop: 36 million for soy, 22 million for rapeseed, 11 million for oil palm and 4 million for sunflower (HCS 2015). Considering that oil palm can produce 3–8 times more oil than any tropical or temperate crop (Sheil et al. 2009), replacing this output with another type of vegetable oil would require larger areas of land: for the period 2013-2025, an additional 85 million ha of soy would be necessary to produce the same amount of oil as that originating from oil palm (HCS 2015).

POWERFUL ECONOMIC DRIVER

High net revenue from palm oil generates results in social conflicts with local communities important economic benefits for developing and emerging economies in the tropics (Sayer et al. 2012). In Malaysia this industry is the fourthlargest contributor to Gross National Income (IDS 2007; MPOB 2012), while in Indonesia, oil palm contributes between 2% and 2.5% to the Gross collapse of fisheries and increases in the frequency National Product (BPS 2015).

At the local level, oil palm development can also help transition communities out of poverty. This is largely due to the crop's bi-monthly yields (i.e., 3 to 8 times higher than other oil seeds like soy, rapeseed and peanut) and the current ease to sell this crop resulting from continuously-growing global demand. In many cases the production of palm fruits provides a steady and reliable income for rural communities (Feintrenie et al. 2010) allowing communities to take longer-term investment choices (for example, starting small businesses or encouraging schooling) (Dayang Norwana et al. 2011). If properly managed, oil palm can raise rural smallholders' income and assets by 60% or more (Susila 2004). As a result, many humanitarian organisations and local communities regard oil palm as the ultimate cash crop. Villagers can be eager for opportunities to plant oil palm and have been known to compete with other villages for development investors (Rist et al. 2010b).

However, the oil palm sector is grossly underpinned by poor land allocation procedures, lack of transparency, and corruption. This has heavily impacted species like the orangutan, as well as local rural communities and biodiversity at large (Marti 2008). Too often, oil palm development

because of the loss of traditional land, corruption, and violence from unscrupulous companies. Large scale oil palm development also impacts the livelihood of local communities through destruction of the forest and its resources, water pollution. and intensity of flooding. Finally, the influx of nonlocal and foreign workers who are employed in large industrial plantations often results in social conflicts with the local communities.



It is feared that further expansion of the palm oil industry will place vital ecosystems at risk of untenable exploitation, especially considering the highly unsustainable conventional production methods (Laurance et al. 2010; Wilcove & Koh 2010). Large-scale establishment of oil palm negatively impacts natural systems in several areas, such as at population, species, habitat and ecosystem levels. Furthermore, oil palm development is a contributing factor to the global biodiversity 'crisis' (Laurance 2007; Sodhi et al. 2010). A clear example is clearance of peatlands and old-growth forests, which causes serious damage to the environment and releases large quantities of greenhouse gas into the atmosphere (van der Werf et al. 2009).

At the species level, oil palm expansion has significant impacts on many threatened species and their habitat. One of the best-documented examples is the orangutan (*Pongo* sp.), an arboreal forest-dwelling great ape that depends on lowland rainforests that grow on the peat and mineral soils of Sumatra (Pongo abelii) and Borneo (Pongo pygmaeus) (Wich et al. 2012b; Wich et al. 2008). Such a threat to apes is by no means restricted to Southeast Asia, and since over 42.3% of the current African great ape distribution overlaps with land suitable for oil palm development, it is feared that African apes will undergo similar habitat loss through oil palm expansion (Wich et al. 2014).

In many developed countries, the palm oil industry has a largely negative public image. This is reflected by many recent campaigns organized worldwide against the industry. Increasingly, concerns are also being raised in producing countries because of the social and environmental damages



resulting from development of this industry. However, in a business developing as rapidly as the palm oil industry, it is difficult for scientists and those interested in sustainable practices to keep up with newly developing standards and procedures in certification bodies such as the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO), determining how these translate into on-the-ground results, and what they mean for mitigating the industry's social and environmental impacts. The resulting lack of up-to-date information helps little to change the image of the industry formed by the public, which is not always well supported by facts. Table 1 shows some discrepancies in public information about the impacts of oil palm and the information available from objective scientific studies. Such discrepancies arise partly because of the emotive nature of the oil palm debate (Sheil & Meijaard 2010). Furthermore, they can be compounded due to the inherent difficulty of studying industrialscale processes across large landscapes with highly varying social, legal, political, and environmental characteristics.

This issue affects orangutans in Southeast Asia, but could also threaten other ape populations in Africa as the industry continues to expand. In some contexts, there is evidence that ape conservation and oil palm development can be reconciled to some extent by minimizing and mitigating negative impacts through improved management practices and better spatial allocation of plantations (Meijaard et al. 2016). The implementation of those measures could determine the future of ape species affected by oil palm development in Asia and Africa.

TABLE 1

Some examples of discrepancies between public statements and scientific facts about the impacts of oil palm.

PUBLIC STATEMENT	SOURCE	SCIENTIFIC STATEMENT	SOURCE
Over 90% of orangutan habitat has been destroyed in the last 20 years.	(Orangutan Conservancy 2015; Say No to Oil Palm 2015)	About 25% of orangutan habitat was lost between 1990 and 2004; no more recent estimates are available.	(Meijaard & Wich 2007; Wich et al. 2008)
The palm oil industry is one of the most important factors driving the dramatic reduction of orangutan populations.	(WWF 2015)	In Borneo, 19% of the remaining orangutan range overlaps with oil palm concessions. Killing of Bornean orangutans outside of oil palm concessions is responsible for more than 50% of orangutan deaths.	(Meijaard et al. 2011; Wich et al. 2012b)
Oil palm development is currently the leading cause of rainforest destruction in Malaysia and Indonesia	(Rainforest Rescue 2015)	This statement is correct for Malaysian Borneo, where the share of oil palm-driven deforestation since 1973 is about 55%; in Indonesian Borneo, it was less than 15% up to 2005; in Sumatra, the oil palm plantations were the second-largest industry that caused deforestation from 2000-2010	(Abood et al. 2015)

Industrial scale oil palm is grown in large plantations in With permission from Borneo Futures.



IMAGE 2

which few natural forests and other ecosystems are retained.

OIL PALM IN SOUTHEAST ASIA IMPLICATIONS FOR DRANGUTANS PEOPLE

SUMMARY

Oil palm has significant development potential, but this potential is not always realised. If poorly planned, poorly managed or planted in unsuitable areas, oil palm can create financial problems and losses for both single-family farms that mix cash crops with subsistence agriculture-known as 'smallholders'-and industrial-scale estates. The palm oil industry can also displace rural and indigenous peoples and negatively impact traditional cultures and livelihoods, such as the small-scale cultivation of rice and other dietary staples. If poorly planned, the impact of land cover change from forest to oil palm can contribute to disasters such as flooding, which can result in high economic costs and the loss of lives. In many places, poor planning has also resulted in increased soil erosion, water pollution, and the collapse of fisheries.

Oil palm expansion also threatens the remaining habitat of orangutans, which has already suffered significant loss and fragmentation in recent decades. In Borneo, existing oil palm concession licenses cover one-fifth of the orangutan's distribution. However, with careful planning and informed spatial analysis, landscapes can be better designed with optimal allocation of lands for development and conservation, maximizing long-term societal welfare. To achieve this, significant improvements are needed at various government levels, as well as planning and management

PART ONE



improvements at the estate level. A change in mindset is needed to better capture the long-term societal benefits and costs of any development plans, specifically those related to the rapidly expanding palm oil industry. The general public needs to be better informed about sustainability and certification in order to make more responsible consumer choices..

The successive stages of forest conversion and oil palm establishment, development, and maturation have different impacts on orangutan populations. Forest conversion has by far the most negative impact on the short-term survival of the species through habitat loss and associated killing, and the long-term viability of the remaining populations is imperiled through factors such as genetic fragmentation, stress, and increased risk of disease transmission. Orangutans that survive forest conversion can feed on young palms, which can result in significant economic losses to the grower and subsequent retaliatory killing

as a means of crop protection.

After three to five years, oil palms mature and the extent of conflict between orangutans and oil palm growers decreases significantly. At some stage, mature plantations may simply act as 'corridor' areas between fragmented forest patches, as long as dispersal of apes within these planted landscapes is not impeded and is tolerated by workers and plantation owners.

LAND USE ALLOCATION FOR OIL PALM

In Indonesia, oil palm plantations cover over 8.4 increase its oil palm extent up to 6.6 million hectares, million hectares, with 64.1% of those located in Sumatra following national economic pathways—as outlined in and 32.0% in Kalimantan as of 2013. Over 5.2 million Malaysia's Economic Transformation Programme. This hectares are planted in Malaysia with 1.5 million will help in efforts to achieve a high-income status by hectares in Sabah and 1.2 in Sarawak. Both countries 2020 (Permandu 2010). have lost significant forest cover due to oil palm expansion over the past 40 years, and it is estimated Land use allocation practices in both Indonesia and that 55% to 60% of forest clearance in Malaysia was Malaysia are characterized by laws and procedures done to make way for oil palm plantations (Gaveau that ultimately promote the transformation of forest et al. 2016; Koh & Wilcove 2009). From 2000-2010, assets to agriculture and other types of extractive the oil palm industry was the largest industrial sector land uses (Brockhaus et al. 2012). Although specific practices differ between both countries, and between contributing to forest loss in Sumatra and the second largest in Indonesian Borneo (Abood et al. 2015). the two Malaysian states of Sabah and Sarawak, commonalities exist in their overriding wills for Forest loss is likely to continue as both Malaysia converting lowland forests to agriculture such as oil palm plantations (Cotula et al. 2015). For both countries, and Indonesia are planning to expand the palm oil industry to support development agendas. In this the legal political framework for land use allocation is highly complex and involves overlapping policy and pursuit, Indonesia aims to increase its crop area regulatory mandates with multiple stakeholders at to 18 million hectares of land suitable for oil palm (Jakarta Post 2009), while Malaysia is expected to multiple levels.

OIL PALM IN SOUTHEAST ASIA



In Indonesia, land use allocation at a landscape level for oil palm is largely influenced through spatial planning and license granting: Basic Agrarian Law (Law No. 5/1960), Basic Forestry Law (Law No. 5/1967), and laws surrounding spatial planning (Law No. 24/1992). Spatial planning at the national level ascribes areas for permanent forest reserves (i.e. Forest Zones) in which normally no oil palm cultivation can take place. Outside of the Forest Zones, cultivation areas for non-forestry activities are allocated for agricultural purposes. Oil palm development licenses are then conditional on mandatory local impact assessments approved at the local government level. Impact assessments review social constraints that may impact oil palm development, such as opposition by local communities, or customary lands, and biophysical conditions of potential concessions to identify environmentally sensitive areas. Such areas include those with the presence of deep peat, or the presence of High Conservation Value (HCV) and High Carbon Stock (HCS) areas.

In addition to the approval of the pre-licensing assessments is the requirement for consultation with local communities, typically governed at the district level. However, the quality of impact assessments and the adherence to community consultations vary widely, potentially having negative implications on people and biodiversity, including orangutan habitat. Although the introduction to the mandatory Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO) certification aims to improve land allocation prerequirements for oil palm, major issues remain.

In Malaysia, land allocation is relatively straightforward compared to Indonesia, particularly in Sabah and Sarawak, where long-term land titles are issued to promote investment in plantation development. In Sabah, the basis of 'development' has always been to secure property rights, and specific titles are issued for land areas designated as plantations. In Sabah, 'deforestation' is not seen as deforestation, but as the opportunity to own long-term land rights. In 1976, British Overseas Aid developed the Land Capability Classification Map for Sabah, which identified all land suitable for agricultural purposes. This map has

been used as a yardstick for agricultural development ever since, and the crude zoning for industries has segmented Sabah into production sectors, with oil palm allocated to the eastern region (Institute for Development Studies 2007), due to its fertile floodplains and lowland areas (Abram et al. 2014b).

Land-title applications for large-scale commercial (Country Lease) or smallholder titles (Native Titles) for oil palm are assessed against the Land Capability Classification Map to infer the titles' suitability for palm oil production. In cases where the extent of the land title is small, the assessment of soil type might be by-passed. Another major issue for some regions is that once a title is granted for a particular land use type, the title holder must abide by the predetermined land use. For example, in Sabah, titles allocated for oil palm must be converted to that land use as decreed under the State Land Ordinance. As in Indonesia, the issue of bribes, corruption, multiple and bogus applications for land are widespread, and local peoples' customary rights can be disregarded within the process of land alienation in the State (Siddiquee 2010).

Socio-political issues aside, there is a fundamental lack of transparent, adequate, and detailed spatial information in land use allocation exercises for identifying key facts, such as the suitability of the area for oil palm development or other types of agriculture, the value of the forest for protected species such as the orangutan and other ecosystem services derived from forested landscapes. This lack of knowledge reduces opportunities to develop alternative, better land use choices than those adopted by successive governments following a business-as-usual approach (Runting et al. 2015). Poor planning approaches and the use of overlysimplified biophysical methods for allocating oil palm can have major socio-economic, environmental and biodiversity conservation implications.

For oil palm to increase human welfare across the tropics, Palm oil is often marketed as 'liquid gold' and as a way governments, oil palm companies, financial corporations, to elevate the poor out of deprivation into financial security and certification bodies need to: 1) deliver integrated and abundance. However, smallholder yields and overall spatial assessments that identify lands where net-positive profits vary widely based on the knowledge of management economic, social, and environmental outcomes can be for production of this crop—such as the appropriate use delivered through oil palm development; and 2) account of fertilizer and other planting methods—as well as the for all costs and benefits of oil palm. Net-positive impacts biophysical suitability of the area and the accessibility of require at least a guid pro guo approach. processing mills and transport routes.

Such a loss will have a devastating impact on remaining Smallholders can be impacted by poor land use allocation populations of wildlife, such as orangutans, elephants for oil palm, which can have major financial implications (Elephas maximus), and proboscis monkeys (Nasalis larvatus) (Abram et al. 2014b). Independent growers and those not (Bruford et al. 2010; Estes et al. 2012). Indeed, further forest under management of a mill have particularly struggled loss will aggravate the lack of connectivity between isolated financially, as have indigenous peoples who lack knowledge populations and worsen the current fragmentation of, for on best practices for the crop and consequently attain poor instance, the overall Kinabatangan populations. This will vields. Farmers in these cases may struggle to pay back result in an increased compaction effect in the remaining loans for set-up costs, which can be high and are especially protected patches of forest, and will put the already fragile problematic because palm fruits are only harvestable after tourism industry at risk. From a socio-economic and several years (MPOB 2010). The palm oil industry has a political perspective, the conversion of forest within a global history of poor relations with local communities and high biodiversity hotspot—particularly one that supports great levels of conflict (Abram et al. 2017). ape tourism ventures and other forms of ecotourism-for no financial gain makes little sense. Improving planning and The costs associated with social conflicts, the loss of allocation for oil palm in the area is an urgent necessity.

ecosystem services and reduced food security remain largely unquantified and unaccounted for (Obidzinski et al. Financially investing in agriculture in unsuitable areas 2012), making it difficult to determine whether the benefits may also have consequences for businesses. Although flood mitigation measures can be implemented in floodof oil palm claimed by governments and industry outweigh costs. Varying support for oil palm among rural communities prone areas, they are largely ineffective and very costly (Hoh indicates a wariness of the net benefits (Abram et al. 2014a; & Ishak-Amin 2001). For example, in 2000, one company Dayang Norwana et al. 2011; Meijaard et al. 2013). Several experienced palm mortalities due to high flood water in studies assessed the value of ecosystem services for forests 5,000 hectares newly planted with immature palms, with where orangutans occur and compared those to alternative estimated financial losses of US\$3 million (equivalent to land use options (van Beukering et al. 2003; Venter et al. US\$600/ha) (Hai et al. 2001). The impacts of flood-related 2009; Wich et al. 2011}. Although more work remains to be financial losses are particularly pertinent for small-scale conducted, these studies indicate that the potential value of farmers who often establish plantations using formal credit, carbon stored in the forests and particularly the thick peat borrow money through informal arrangements, or invest a layers, in combination with the value of other ecosystem large proportion of their savings. Failed oil palm ventures



TEXT BOX 2: CONSERVATION PLANNING FOR ORANGUTANS: AN INTEGRATED APPROACH

For orangutan conservation and the conservation of great ape species in African oil palm producing countries, spatial planning needs to incorporate various types of information and should try to align goals and outcomes to support Sabah's and Indonesia's orangutan Species Action Plans. Different types of spatial data can help to accommodate and synergize orangutan conservation and oil palm establishment at a macro-planning level, by taking a holistic view to achieving species-level conservation (see Runting et al. 2015 for an academic exercise in using such tools). therefore represent poor return on investment for small-scale producers (Vermeulen & Goad 2006). However, larger companies with processing mills are likely to have less associated financial risk in converting flood-prone land as larger plantations may have a mosaic of land suitability thereby offsetting financial risk.

Flooding is becoming an increasing social and economic problem throughout the major river basins in Borneo, as well as Peninsular Malaysia where it was similarly found that conversion of forest to oil palm plantations increases the duration and frequency of floods and associated economic damage (Tan-Soo et al. 2014). Although no formal analysis has been conducted on the impacts of conversion of forest for oil palm plantations there have been several floods in the north of Sumatra linked to forest conversion that have led to loss of life and substantial economic damage, with more than half a million people affected during the last decade in Aceh alone (Wich et al. 2011).

The Indonesian and Malaysian governments recognize flooding as a significant economic, social, and environmental risk, but do not incorporate flood impacts and their relationships to land use into land use decision-making. A better understanding of the relationships between land cover, terrain, flooding events, and economic impacts could help land use planners make better and informed decisions, especially in identifying where deforestation should be avoided to minimize flooding impacts, and enable spatial planning to optimize multiple social, economic, and conservation objectives. It is important to note that the costs of deforestation and ecosystem degradation, such as those associated with increased flood frequency, are generally borne by the society, and not by the industry or the financial developers that are responsible for them, making the internalization of those costs a necessity.

Oil palm producers should consider the willingness of local people to shift their livelihoods to oil palm before opening up more land. Furthermore, the legal and traditional rights to land must be considered and included within development planning. Communities should not be displaced, marginalized, or negatively impacted by economic development. Indeed, a major argument by the palm oil industry and governments for forest conversion to oil palm is made on the premise that it will improve people's lives and the national economy. If, however, communities are unprepared or simply are opposed to oil palm, and want to retain forests which are also within orangutan habitat, then a merging of agendas arises between communities and conservationists. Converting such areas therefore may prove to be socially, economically, and environmentally unwise and the implications of going ahead with such development plans may not outweigh the benefits. Operational costs for oil palm development can be extremely high if a company is facing conflicts with local communities or non-governmental organizations (Levin et al. 2012) and targeting oil palm towards willing communities would help minimize these costs.

Land use planning is complex, especially in multifunctional landscapes. However, advances in spatial modelling, geographic information systems (GIS) and spatialplanning tools have enabled integration of interdisciplinary datasets for understanding landscapes (Moilanen 2007; Watts et al. 2009). For example, it is now possible to quantify landscapes in terms of natural resources and potential value for commodities such as palm oil in terms of their monetary value (Abram et al. 2014b; de Groot et al. 2012). It is also possible to quantify landscapes in regards to their non-monetary benefits to society, such as people's perceptions of whether they want land cover change to oil palm, or the value of forests in regards to their cultural and spiritual value or for the products they provide: (Abram et al. 2014a; Balvanera & Lopez-Hoffman 2012; Baral et al. 2013).

For species conservation, threats such as land cover change and hunting can be mapped to generate information on distribution and relative abundance (Abram et al. 2015; Wich et al. 2012b). Such information is imperative to understanding complex landscapes and is necessary for strategically allocating land for specific and optimal purposes. This, in turn, can help inform spatial plans so that they translate national or sub-national policies to good practice on the ground (Knight et al. 2008).

TEXT BOX 3: VILLAGERS' PERCEPTIONS OF THE BENEFITS THEY RECEIVE FROM FORESTS

Studies based on interviews with rural villagers on Borneo aimed to ascertain their perception of the intrinsic value of the forests (Abram et al. 2014a; Meijaard et al. 2013). Certain communities showed a strong link and awareness of the value of forests for themselves, their families and the environment. The results showed that several forest products such as timber and wild meat were highly needed by forest-dependent communities, but also by communities within humanaltered landscapes. In many communities, forests still play an important cultural and spiritual role, with communities in transition areas demonstrating most awareness of their links to the forest.

Health benefits from the forest for people or for the environment were also widely acknowledged. Direct health benefits were felt in more forest-reliant communities while environmental health was noted more in communities where transition in land cover was occurring. This suggests that people are experiencing the negative impacts of land cover change. Forest clearing for smallholder oil palm agriculture was widely supported in a range of regions. However, many communities were against large-scale forest conversion to griculture with strong opposition in more intact forested areas, but also in areas with oil palm.

Understanding people's perceptions of the values of forest and on land use and land cover change is important to incorporate into planning for conservation, development and social wellbeing.

EXAMPLE 1

Heterogeneous floodplain suitability for oil palm cultivation

A detailed land cover study in the Kinabatangan region of eastern Sabah considered the suitability and profitability of the floodplain for oil palm (Abram et al. 2014b). The region is a biodiversity hotspot, being home to a priority orangutan population (Sabah Wildlife Department 2012), to one of the five major Bornean elephant ranges (Estes et al. 2012) and other protected species. Wildlife viewing tourism activities are rapidly developing in the floodplain despite the fact that most of the lowland forest has been converted to oil palm over the past 30 years.

Results from this study estimated that 54% to 68% of the non-protected forest remaining in the floodplain (about 30,100 hectares in 2010–2011) was unsuitable for oil palm production due to seasonal or tidal inundation (Abram et al. 2014b). In fact, if forest conversion to oil palm happens, establishment costs exceed any potential revenues, estimated from USD 65 (in areas with around 25% of palms surviving) to USD 300 (with no palms surviving) per hectare, per year, across 25 years. This is because most palms will die and the overall net cost for converting forest to oil palm will significantly outweigh any revenue derived from these areas. In addition, costs across smallholdings (less than 40 hectares) can be significant for planters, causing potentially large financial implications.

Despite the lack of financial benefit of conversion to oil palm in these areas, at least 56% of these unprotected forests (16,209 hectares) in Kinabatangan have been allocated for oil palm under commercial and smallholder titles (Image 3). Adding already existing and future commercially redundant areas-if they are developed as currently planned-could amount to over 32,000 ha of redundant land in the floodplain.

2013/2014 Unprotected forest cover on Country Lease and Native Titles, and on areas with unknown titles in the Kinabatangan region of Eastern Sabah (Malaysian Borneo).



Estimated extent (32,000 ha) of failed oil palm (orange) if all unprotected forest is converted to oil palm cultivation in the Kinabatangan region of Eastern Sabah (Malaysian Borneo).



Failed oil palm (existing/predicted)

IMAGE 3

IMAGE 4

EXAMPLE 2

Heterogeneous floodplain suitability for oil palm cultivation

Major floods can be exacerbated by land cover change. A Borneo-wide study conducted for the Great Apes Survival Partnership (GRASP) analyzed the relationship between flood events and land cover change in Kalimantan in Indonesian Borneo. Information was compiled from three different sources: interview surveys from 548 villages; analysis of 413 newspaper articles between April 2010 and April 2013; and data from official sources.

The study identified significant discrepancies between government assessments of flood hazard and risk, and areas where floods occurred and were locally perceived to be most severe. The study found that flooding was widespread and significantly affected inland communities, as well as coastal towns and cities (Wells et al. 2013).

A follow up study (Wells et al. 2016) found that the probability of flooding trends over the past 30 years was higher for watersheds with more extensive oil palm plantations, but lower in watersheds with greater cover of logged or intact forests. Floods in recent years were more likely in watersheds with higher oil palm cover. In Borneo, flooding probabilities and reported trends in flooding were related to landscape features, especially the extents of impervious cover, mines, oil palm plantations, the extent and condition of forests and wetlands, and changes in soil water storage capacity. These findings were supported by the similarity of results from two independent data sets.

According to the perceptions of local villagers, wetlands and peatlands appeared to have a protective role in relation to flooding. Clearing these areas for oil palm may have contributed to increasing the frequency of floods over the past 30 years. Newspaper reports indicated that at least 146 distinct flood events happened during the three-year study period, flooding a minimum of 197,000 houses (and possibly as many as 360,000) and displacing a minimum of 776,000 people (and possibly as many as 1.5 million) (Wells et al. 2013) (Image 5).

Flooding in Ujoh Bilang Kutai Barat East Kalimantan in June 2006 as an example of the social impacts of floods. With permission from Godwin Limberg.



TEXT BOX 4: OIL PALM DEVELOPMENT, ORANGUTAN KILLING, AND ORPHANED ORANGUTANS

been killed annually during the past three to four decades (Meijaard et al. 2011). Surprisingly, it appears that more orangutans are killed for food than for any other reason, such as illegal trade, planted with oil palm, killing the 'pest' animals is often seen as the ultimate solution to mitigate conflicts with orangutans (Davis et al. 2013). Killing associated with oil palm development at

the orangutan deaths. If the current killing rate continues in Kalimantan, many orangutan

Today, more than 1,000 rescued orphaned orangutans are held in captivity in the various rehabilitation centers spread throughout Borneo and Sumatra (Russon 2009). Rehabilitation is assistance is low and these centers offer assistance to orphaned orangutans, but cannot be considered a permanent solution for protecting this species.

IMAGE 5

EXAMPLE 3

Conversion of peatlands creates large socio-economic and environmental problems

Peat soils on average comprise 90% water and 10% organic materials. In 2010, more than 20% of peat swamps in Southeast Asia had been converted into oil palm or pulp plantations, and only 34% remained under natural forest cover (Miettinen et al. 2012). The remainder were found in degraded and burned land or smallholder farm land. Draining and burning of peatlands is a major source of regional noxious haze and global greenhouse gas emissions (Turetsky et al. 2015). The fires of 2015-2016 and associated haze in Indonesia resulted in the loss of more than 2 million ha of forest—a significant part of which was peat swamps—along with health issues that affected hundreds of thousands of people, and huge financial losses for the country, estimated by the World Bank at more than US\$ 20 million (or 1.9% of Indonesia's Gross Domestic Product (GDP)).

When peat swamp forests are converted to other types of land uses they need to be drained. Their drainage results in rapid subsidence, literally the lowering and collapse of the soil and of the surface of the peat, which increases flooding events in the lower parts of the floodplains and in coastal areas, creating huge issues for coastal communities (Hooijer et al. 2012). Eventually, any crops planted in place of the natural peat swamp forests will die off after only a few decades (Deltares 2015a).

The case is clear for halting the drainage of peat swamp forests and enforcing strong moratoriums for their protection or rehabilitation in order to maintain the crucial ecosystem services they maintain and prevent devastating fires and haze. Companies with established plantations on peat soils should stop their activities and rehabilitate these peatlands to natural habitat or to alternative land uses, such as non-drained, low intensity crops.

Social conflicts due to inappropriate establishment of oil palm

In many countries, traditional livelihoods and local people's values are being challenged through power realignments over land and its resources in pursuit of economic development. Often, this leads to social tensions and conflicts (Barron et al. 2004). In Indonesia, 12.3 to 19.6 million people were associated with land use conflicts from 1990 to 2000, representing 5 to 9% of the country's population (USAID 2006). Such numbers are not surprising in a country like Indonesia where nearly all forested land is under state rule and land tenure for local communities is tenuous. This is of particular importance in relation to Indonesia's rapidly-expanding 'forest-frontier' agriculture sector, which is dominated by the palm oil industry, with such development agendas often undermining customary rights to land and traditions of more forest-dependent communities (Abram et al. 2014a; Bartley 2010).

Conflicts between local communities and large-scale oil palm developments have been widely observed and documented due to land tenure issues as a result of illegal operations, large land leases overlapping with community areas, and displacement of people from land (Patel et al. 2013; Yasmi et al. 2010). Conflicts can also arise from environmental degradation that impacts the welfare or livelihoods of local communities (Abram et al. 2014a). Some local communities oppose oil palm, as they believe it will erode their traditional customs and identity, impact their livelihoods, and degrade their environment (Abram et al. 2014a; Achobang et al. 2013; Chong 2012). In some cases, local communities in collaboration with NGOs have taken oil palm companies to court for illegally operating in peat swamps in Sumatra (http://www.sumatranorangutan.org/tripa-campaign).

A need to include conservation and sustainable landscape development to improve land use planning

Identifying synergies between development and the sustainability of species and ecosystems is paramount to mitigate adverse environmental and social impacts. This is especially important in light of increasing pressure on land and natural resources due to exponential global population increase and high economic growth targets set by many developing and emerging nations. Planning for sustainable landscapes can provide an effective approach to understanding complex social, economic, and biological aspects of landscapes.

EXAMPLE 4





IMPACT OF OIL PALM DEVELOPMENT ON ORANGUTAN POPULATIONS

Indonesia and Malaysia are not only the two largest oil palm plantations (Wich et al. 2011). Considering that producers of palm oil, they are also the only two countries that comprise the present natural range of wild orangutans. Continued expansion of oil palm in these two nations has had a negative impact on orangutan distribution and on the species' short- and long-term viability (Ancrenaz et al. 2015a; Gaveau et al. 2009; Struebig et al. 2015). Habitat loss, degradation and fragmentation have had irreversible consequences for resident orangutan populations. These factors also lead to increased conflicts with people as orangutans are displaced into more human-dominated environments. This increases killings of orangutans, which in turn can also fuel domestic and non-domestic trade of these species (Abram et al. 2015; Davis et al. 2013; Nijman population loss (Cotula et al. 2015). Both Indonesia and 2005).

It is inevitable that further expansion of oil palm in Sumatra and Borneo will exacerbate these threats, unless oil palm development can be steered away from remaining orangutan habitats. If not, the viability of most populations of critically endangered Sumatran and Bornean orangutans is at risk. A recent study (Wich et al. 2012b) showed that a et al. 2011) and Sumatra (Wich et al. 2012a). minimum of 19% of the 2010 distribution of the Bornean orangutan was in land allocated for oil palm development. Another 24% occurred in areas for which land use has not been decided yet, but some of which are likely to be converted to either smallholder or industrial-scale oil palm or silviculture, which is the use of forest land to develop crops.

In Sumatra, the extent of orangutan distribution under known oil palm concessions is around 3% (Meijaard & Wich 2014), but large areas with high orangutan densities, such as most of the Tripa peat swamps, have been lost to

more concessions will be granted to support economic development, further orangutan habitat will be lost in both Borneo and Sumatra.

Orangutans are fully protected under Indonesian and Malaysian law. However, this level of protection refers only to acts of persecution, illegal keeping, or trade. There is currently no law preventing the destruction or degradation of orangutan habitat in either Malaysia or Indonesia, yet the removal of such habitat ultimately results in fatalities and localized extinction of the population. As a result, the current protection of these species is inadequate in curbing the state of Sabah in northern Borneo, which stands as one of Malaysia's orangutan strongholds, have Species Action Plans that aim to stabilize orangutan populations by 2016 (for Sabah) and 2017 (for Indonesia). Achieving these ambitious goals means that in addition to the need to halt the loss of orangutan habitat, it is essential to tackle human-orangutan conflicts and killings in Borneo (Meijaard

A social survey undertaken in Borneo in just over 500 villages—or about 8% of all villages on the island—showed that between 750 and 1,800 orangutans were reported to be killed in the year prior to the survey in these villages alone (Abram et al. 2015). Meanwhile, enforcement of existing laws is woefully inadequate as conviction for orangutan killings or illegal acquisition/trade is nearly non-existent, although recently a few people have been prosecuted by the governments of Indonesia and Malaysia when they were found guilty of killing or trading orangutans. If forest conversion to oil palm and other non-forest land uses is

not curbed, these action plans will fail and the fate of the orangutan will be significantly jeopardized.

However, changing legislation to protect orangutan habitat will potentially affect local, state and national economies, and could impede economic progress and potentially derail pursuit of current development targets. This is especially the case as orangutans and oil palm both require similar conditions and therefore compete for the same areas, namely lowlands with plenty of fresh water. It is clear that in Malaysia and Indonesia the economic incentive for oil palm development is greater than the desire to adequately protect orangutans. Unless the socio-ecological values of safeguarding these species and the tropical forests they reside in are recognized and taken into account to guide the development agenda, it is likely that the political will to develop new legislation to protect the habitat of these two species in Borneo and Sumatra will remain weak.

Consequently, these conditions bring changes to wildlife community structure and abundance, with endemic and specialized taxa being replaced by invasive and generalist taxa (Fitzherbert et al. 2008; Meijaard et al. 2005). A few abundant generalist non-forest or alien invasive species, Nevertheless, there is often no need to change often considered as pests by the industry, typically dominate legislation to protect orangutan habitat because there plantation assemblages. Oil palm plantations are generally are a number of regulations that prohibit the conversion lower in species diversity compared to other types of industrial of areas where orangutans occur, even though they were tree plantations, although it is higher than the most degraded not specifically designed for orangutan protection (Wich and human-altered tropical vegetation types (Gibson et al. et al. 2011). An analysis of orangutan habitat on Sumatra 2011). Therefore, traditional, large-scale oil palm monocultures indicates that large areas should not be converted due are of limited importance for conserving local biodiversity. But to regulations prohibiting conversion on deep peatlands, well-managed plantations that retain some natural forest steep slopes, and areas sensitive to landslides (Wich et elements can provide some foraging resources and dispersal al. 2011). It would be useful if such analyses would be opportunities for various species (Maddox et al. 2007; Maddox conducted island wide for both Borneo and Sumatra so 2007), including the orangutan (Ancrenaz et al. 2015b). that it is clear which areas should remain unconverted.

OIL PALM PLANTATIONS ARE POOR LAND COVER FOR BIODIVERSITY

Oil palm is a threat to biodiversity within lowland tropical regions which have some of the highest levels of biodiversity and biologically unique ecosystems (Fitzherbert et al. 2008). Compared to intact forests, the structure and composition of oil palm plantations are much less complex, resulting in significantly reduced ecological variation. Palm density is relatively low (100–150 plants/ha), and overall plant diversity is extremely poor. The canopy layer is composed of only one species (Elaeis guineensis), presenting a uniform tree age structure with sparse undergrowth, as opposed to the multidimensional characteristics of tropical forests. In plantations, topsoil is stripped by erosion or damaged by compaction, and microclimate conditions become drier and hotter (Luskin & Potts 2011: Ramdani et al. 2014).



IMPACT OF FOREST CONVERSION TO OIL PALM AGRICULTURE ON THE ECOLOGY AND SURVIVAL OF ORANGUTAN POPULATIONS

In most cases, industrial crops directly replace natural forests, either intact primary forests or already disturbed secondary or degraded forests (Gaveau et al. 2014; Laurance et al. 2010: Miettinen et al. 2011: Wilcove & Koh 2010).

When forests occupied by orangutans are converted to

TEXT BOX 5: FOREST CONVERSION AND **INDUSTRIAL OIL PALM DEVELOPMENT IN BORNEO**

In 1973, Borneo's forest cover was 75.7% of the land area; in 2010 it was 52.8% (Gaveau et al. 2014). Between 1973 and 2013, Borneo lost 17.7 million ha of forest. Rather than slowing down, deforestation has accelerated and more than 8 million hectares were lost between 2000 and 2010 (Miettinen et al. 2011).

Recent analyses of satellite images show that 7.9 million ha of new industrial oil palm plantations were developed over the past 40 years, and are directly responsible for 21.4% to 25.4% of the deforestation on the island (Gaveau et al. 2016). Between 1973 and 2006 the fastest rates of forest conversion occurred in Sabah (39.5%), followed by Kalimantan (30.7%) and Sarawak (23.1%). Recent analysis also shows that rapid forest conversion for oil palm development was extremely high in the Malaysian states of Sabah and Sarawak, with about 60% of forest being directly replaced by oil palm less than five years after their clearance. This rate is lower in the Indonesian part of the

industrial oil palm plantations, adult females and flanged orangutans are displaced and often die, either directly through killing or indirectly as a result of starvation because they remain in the deforested areas (Wich et al. 2012a). However, unflanged adult male orangutans can potentially move away from disturbance areas and take refuge in undisturbed areas (Ancrenaz et al. 2010; MacKinnon 1972), resulting in a transitional 'excess' of males in remaining forest patches (Bruford et al. 2010).

Compaction of the habitat available to orangutans can create a compression or crowding effect of the remaining population, but the extent of this effect varies (van Schaik 2004). If the compressed population greatly exceeds the carrying capacity of the remaining natural habitat, or if too many individuals are compressed in a small forest patch, members of the resident population and displaced individuals will starve during periods of food scarcity in the forest (Rijksen & Meijaard 1999) and the social structure and behaviour of the animals will be impacted as residents may become more aggressive towards newcomers. They will rely on newly-established plantations to survive and feed on young palm seedlings and on people's crops, creating significant economic losses.

In the longer term, habitat fragmentation that follows agricultural development is a major threat to the viability of any remaining orangutan populations. Fragmentation results in the complete disconnection of remaining subpopulations. Fragmentation occurs when a physical barrier is created that is impassable to dispersing individuals, such as:

When the forest is originally converted to other types of land use and only small blocks of natural forest are left isolated in the overall landscape and too far away from one another;

When forest blocks are dissected by wide roads, human settlements, or other types of human-made structures that prevent orangutans from crossing;

When drains dissect the landscape, as orangutans-like all other ape species-cannot

swim. A deep drain filled with water may therefore clearance for oil palm development and other types of land use (Goossens et al. 2006). In the 1960's, about 4,000 become an impassable barrier to the animals; When large trees with adjacent crowns individuals were estimated to occur in the forests of the across small tributaries or drains—which may Lower Kinabatangan. This estimate was down to 1,100 in previously have been used as bridges—are removed, the early 2000s (Ancrenaz et al. 2004), and fewer than 800 rendering these water bodies impassable to the animals today (M. Ancrenaz, unpubl. data). animals.

Current studies of this meta-population by the Hutan Kinabatangan Orangutan Conservation Programme Because of the landscape fragmentation, original orangutan populations become divided into smaller sub-(KOCP) show that forest conversion has resulted in a populations isolated from one another. These smaller subtemporary influx of adult unflanged males into nearby populations become more vulnerable to genetic drift and patches of forest. This temporary male excess creates additional social and resource-exploitation stress in inbreeding, to unpredictable events triggered by climate changes, and to human-related threats. The lack of gene resident orangutans that have survived forest conversion. flow between populations is a severe threat to the long-Over the years following initial fragmentation, these term survival of any given population in which dispersal is excess males disperse into nearby agricultural landscapes compromised. Anthropogenic changes to the landscapes in search of new territories (Bruford et al. 2010). Today, are the ultimate cause of drastic orangutan decline today. although hunting is not a primary threat to their survival in the area (Ancrenaz et al. 2007), the population is still The best-documented example of landscape declining, mostly because of further forest fragmentation fragmentation is in the forests of the Lower Kinabatangan and conversion (Santika et al. unpubl. data). These studies River in eastern Sabah. These forests have been exploited show that the negative impacts of forest conversion on for the past few centuries and intensive commercial the orangutan's survival must be considered not only timber extraction started in the late 1960s. This wave in the short-term, but also in the long-term in terms of of aggressive forest exploitation was soon followed landscape planning. The long-term impacts of any land by conversion to oil palm agriculture. Today only a few use change will primarily depend on how the overall small, degraded, and isolated protected forests remain landscape is planned and managed.

in a landscape of predominantly industrial oil palm plantations.

Orangutans have been documented as occurring in the Lower Kinabatangan floodplain since before the 1960s (Haile 1964; Horr 1972; MacKinnon 1974; Yoshiba 1964). However, genetic studies show that 95% of the original Kinabatangan's orangutan population had been lost over the past two hundred years due to human activities, initially mostly due to hunting, and later due to forest

TEXT BOX 6: THE RAJANG DELTA IN SARAWAK

The conversion of the Rajang Delta peat swamp forests in Sarawak started in the early palm growth. Current data show that 1) the peat is subsiding at a rate of 3.5 cm/year; 2) delta (three to four times the size of Singapore) will be impacted by flooding events in the next 50 years; 4) crop production is gradually declining and will become financially unsustainable after one or two oil palm cycles; and 5) the effects of subsidence in the huge investments in peat management (Deltares 2015a, b).

IMAGE 6

Forest loss between 1973 and 2010 and current forest extent in Borneo: from Gaveau et al. 2014. Produced under Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.



IMAGE 7

An orangutan nest in an oil palm plant and pith of a leaf after being consumed by an orangutan







MITIGATION MEASURES TO REDUCE THE IMPACT OF OIL PALM DEVELOPMENT ON ORANGUTAN POPULATIONS

ORANGUTANS RARELY CAUSE DAMAGE IN MATURE PLANTATIONS

a smaller home range (Campbell-Smith et al. 2011a). In Orangutans that survive forest conversion may start using agricultural landscapes. When a plantation is Sabah, orangutans venture into mature oil palm landscapes established within the range occupied by orangutans, the to feed on young leaves directly taken from the crown of the animals that survive forest conversion take refuge in any adult palm and on ripe fruits picked from fruit bunches on patch of forest that is not converted. When food becomes the ground or directly on the palm (Ancrenaz et al. 2015b) scarce in these forests, orangutans venture into the new (Image 7 and Image 8). Although orangutans prefer to nest plantations to forage. There they will pull out stems and in forest trees, they can bend and break large leaves of mature palms to build their nests in the central part of the destroy palms up to three years old and below to feed on palm hearts (Yuwono et al. 2007). Damage to the young plant (Ancrenaz et al. 2015b). palms can be significant with dozens of plants being destroyed at once during a feeding bout (Ancrenaz et al. Often, orangutans in Borneo enter the mature plantations 2007). Orangutans can also consume bark of acacias and after workers have left the site and remain active later into other parts of species planted in industrial tree plantations the night before returning to the forest (Spehar unpubl. (Chung et al. 2007; Meijaard et al. 2010) or destroy entire data; Ancrenaz et al. 2015b), although this was rarely found fruit crops in orchards belonging to local villagers (Campbellto occur in Sumatra (Campbell-Smith et al. 2011b). It is Smith et al. 2011a). As a result, subsistence farmers and oil noted that these ranging patterns are similar to those that palm growers in some areas of Borneo consider orangutans have been identified in crop-raiding chimpanzees in Africa the most damaging crop-raiders (Hockings & Humle 2009), (Krief et al. 2014). and many orangutans are either killed or captured and translocated to other places (Hockings & Humle 2009) (Text In the Lower Kinabatangan, about 90% of the signs Box 4). indicating orangutan presence such as nests or broken

Given the drastically different structure of oil palm leaves, are found less than 50m away from small forest plantations compared to natural forests, the behaviour patches or forest edges (Ancrenaz et al. 2015b). These and ecology of orangutans in these altered landscapes findings suggest that the penetration into homogenous differ markedly from what is known in natural forests. In stands of oil palms is relatively limited unless non-palm Sumatra, a small but stable sub-population of orangutans trees are present. However, signs of orangutans (broken has survived in a mosaic of mixed agriculture and forest for leaves) were also recorded more than 500m from a forest, over 20 years (Campbell-Smith et al. 2011b). Compared to indicating that orangutans can sometimes venture further wild conspecifics living in the forest, these animals spend from a forest edge into an oil palm plantation (up to 5km or more time resting and less time feeding, and less time 6km, KOCP, unp. data). eating fruits and more time consuming bark, and have

ground to be faster and to avoid detection (Ancrenaz et either on the palm or on the ground. al. 2015b; Ancrenaz et al. 2014).

Interview surveys in mature estates visited regularly by orangutans revealed that orangutan presence and activities had no negative impact on the fruit productivity of the mature palms, even when palm leaves are broken for nest building (Ancrenaz et al. 2015b). In plantations that are older than five years, orangutans should not be considered a major problem. The animals will mostly feed

In this landscape, orangutans often walk on the on ripe fruits collected directly from the fruit bunches







IMAGE 8

An adult unflanged orangutan male plucking mature fruits directly from a fruit bunch in an oil palm tree.



KILLING ORANGUTANS AS A MITIGATION MEASURE IS ILLEGAL

Orangutans are fully protected species in both Indonesia and Malaysia, and therefore it is strictly forbidden by law to harass, injure, or kill orangutans, or to keep them in captivity (Cotula et al. 2015). In Sabah, such a crime is punished with a term of imprisonment of no less than six months, but not exceeding five years. In Indonesia, large fines and prison sentences are legally possible, but rarely implemented. In all plantations, a zero-tolerance policy on the killing of orangutans and other harmful acts needs to be enforced at all management levels.

Until recently very few companies were willing to reveal orangutan deaths associated with their plantation development, either because 1) they instigated the deaths themselves by paying hunters to kill orangutans and other wildlife, as has been reported from many parts of Sumatra and Borneo; or 2) they were concerned about any possible negative publicity for the company in case an orangutan death was reported. As a result, it is estimated that thousands of orangutans have been killed over the past few decades without any prosecution. However, better overall awareness and increased pressure from various civil society groups have recently resulted in more orangutan killers being arrested, prosecuted, and jailed in Sabah, Sumatra and Kalimantan. One way to encourage companies to report orangutan deaths would be for outside stakeholders and the media to recognize the difference between intentional killings and accidental deaths, and react accordingly. This requires transparent reporting procedures and trustworthy investigation by police or wildlife authorities.

PREVENTION OF CONFLICTS BETWEEN HUMAN AND ORANGUTANS

In areas where orangutans are responsible for economic losses and emotional distress to agricultural growers and workers, a negative perception towards their presence is likely and becomes a major impediment to building local support for their conservation (Aharikundira & Tweheyo 2011; Gore & Kahler 2012; Marchal & Hill 2009; Webber et al. 2007). Successfully addressing conflicts between orangutans and the palm oil industry requires the design and implementation of technical solutions that minimize the damage and related negative perceptions (Hockings & Humle 2009).

Many technical solutions have been tried to reduce impacts from orangutans in oil palm areas, with varying results. For example, trenches and strips of bare land seem to deter orangutans from entering oil palm plantations and could physically separate plantations from forests inhabited by orangutans. When palms become more mature these trenches could be bridged to allow orangutans to move across the mature oil palm landscape. Other deterrents include regular patrolling with dogs on oil palm plantations where orangutans are regularly encountered, or the use of fire crackers to scare orangutans away. More positive actions include planting a buffer of fruit trees at the periphery of newly planted areas to attract the orangutans and minimize the likelihood that they will venture in planted areas looking for food.

The most efficient ways to minimize orangutan conflict in oil palm areas are generally considered to be: 1) proper land use planning before land conversion starts, so that large forest areas are maintained to contain viable orangutan populations, and 2) allowing safe dispersal of orangutans through plantations.

PROMOTING THE CREATION OF ORANGUTAN-FRIENDLY OIL PALM LANDSCAPES

It is unlikely that better management practices for oil palm production will be sufficient to significantly increase the biodiversity value of agro-industrial oil palm plantations (Yaap et al. 2009). Of much greater value would be the protection of forest fragments and corridors within the agricultural landscape (Image 10).

The research available from Kinabatangan emphasizes the value of patches of forest within an oil palm landscape for orangutan conservation, and even small and highly degraded patches are useful. This must be recognized and acknowledged by government planners and policymakers, the scientific community, the private sector and all land users.

Before oil palm development, HCV and HCS forests must be identified, demarcated, and set aside with a view to maintaining ecosystem functionality and meta-populations of wildlife (Koh & Wilcove 2008; McShea et al. 2009; Sabah Wildlife Department 2012). These patches should not be converted but rather incorporated into land use plans as ecological setasides, and appropriately managed to prevent illegal logging, poaching, and fire. However, the current legislation in place in Indonesia and Malaysia prevents the conservation of HCV areas of significant sizes within lands that have been allocated for agricultural development.

When the landscape has already been converted in an oil palm matrix, re-establishing greenways or corridors is a necessary step to recreate continuity between isolated orangutan populations. These greenways, either in the physical form of contiguous forest corridors or stepping stone fragments, will eventually be embedded in the landscape matrix and function to link larger blocks of forest. These forests have to be of sufficient ecological quality to allow the animals to stay and to survive in terms of food resources, nesting sites, and tree cover, and to favor animal movements. To maintain or to re-establish connectivity among forest fragments and to promote orangutan dispersal, it is important to consider not only the distance between the fragments and the length of the corridors, but also the quality of the area between locations and the level of human activity within them, by assessing the functional versus structural connectivity (Forman 2006; Gunderson et al. 2010; Lindermayer & Fischer 2006).

Orangutan dispersal can also be enhanced within an oil palm landscape by planting fast-growing non-palm fruit trees to increase food opportunities for wildlife and create possible nesting sites for orangutans. Habitat heterogeneity through the plantation lifecycle should be integrated into planning more biodiversity-friendly oil palm landscapes (Luskin & Potts 2011). Creating 'blocks' for rotation at different periods is a widespread approach that benefits biodiversity in the timber industry (Thang 1987) and oil palm growers could adopt a similar approach. Planting schedules could also increase permeability and connectivity between remaining forests by using progressive strips to maintain some continuous crop corridors (Luskin & Potts 2011). Variable retention, which leaves mature palms when new palms are planted at the end of a rotation cycle, would be another approach to increase the value of the landscape for orangutan and biodiversity conservation.

The proper spatial and temporal design of the plantation (Image 10) should be combined with best management practices and traditional conservation efforts to improve the quality of this landscape for orangutans and other biodiversity elements (Luskin & Potts 2011).

IMAGE 9

The Kinabatangan landscape is dominated by oil palm, with remaining forest patches tentatively connected through forest corridors.



IMAGE 10

Example of a conservation land use plan (red areas) in an oil palm plantation (green areas), with conservation setasides varying between a few hundred ha to nearly 2,500 ha, and riverine forest set-asides and forest corridors providing connectivity. Not all planned conservation areas were realized, but the concession has up to 150 orangutans (Meijaard et al. 2016).

PT. KAYUNG AGRO LESTAR



INCREASED RISKS OF DISEASE TRANSMISSION

The occurrence of emerging infectious diseases is a major threat to wildlife and to global public health, with high economic impacts. Although no major disease outbreak affecting orangutans in Asia has been documented, recent Ebola outbreaks in Africa have resulted in the death of thousands of humans and tens of thousands of great apes (Bermejo et al. 2006; Reed et al. 2014).

Reasons for increased risk of disease transmission between humans and orangutans-and vice-versaliving in anthropogenic landscapes include:

A closer distance between humans and apes;

Elevated levels of stress that could impair ability of individual immune systems to combat disease and infection (Muehlenbein & Bribiescas 2005);

Increased orangutan terrestrial locomotion exposing the animals to a greater risk of contamination with pathogens originating from people and domestic livestock (Ancrenaz et al. 2014);

Changes in the epidemiology of the pathogens due to habitat fragmentation, human penetration into natural habitat, climate change, etc. In Borneo, the malaria caused by *Plasmodium knowlesi* was for a long-time restricted to monkeys. However, this type of malaria increasingly affects orangutans and people today (Lee et al. 2011).

There is still very little knowledge on the diseases of and pathogens present in wild orangutans in Borneo and Sumatra. Therefore, a precautionary approach requires that the epidemiology and dynamics of emerging diseases that could potentially affect these species of great apes in human-made landscapes are investigated thoroughly (Gillespie & Chapman 2006; Muehlenbein & Ancrenaz 2009: Travis et al. 2008).

The knowledge and experience gained regarding the impacts of oil palm development on orangutan survival are critical to informing industry on how to best mitigate the negative repercussions of development on African great apes.

EXPANSION OF OIL PALM N AFRICA IMPACTS ON GREAT APES

EXPANSION OF OIL PALM IN AFRICA

As the palm oil industry develops and expands, companies look for ways to increase production. Malaysia and Indonesia have tried to achieve this is through increasing the yields produced per hectare, as a result of better management of the plantations, which can increase income by up to 60% (Potter 2015). This is especially the case for smallholders, who often sell their produce to large companies. Some have argued, however, that yield improvements will only make palm oil more competitive compared to other crops, thus attracting more production and potentially deforestation to the tropics, with other crops grown at higher latitudes such as rape seed possibly losing out to this competition (Carrasco et al. 2014).

In addition to yield increases, cultivars that have been genetically altered for increased production can be used. Oil palm breeding and selection is primarily focused on maximizing mesocarp and kernel oil yields (Rajanaidu et al. 2000). This aspect of oil palm cultivation could play a critical role, with the potential to produce up to 33% more palm oil per hectare (Singh et al. 2013).

With limited potential for further expansion of oil palm development in Malaysia and Indonesia, companies are now looking elsewhere in the tropics for extensive areas that can be converted to oil palm to meet the continued rise in demand. Much of this attention is falling directly on Africa (Image 11), and to a lesser extent South and Central America (Belenki & Wolosin 2015).

EXPANSION OF OIL PALM IN AFRICA

At present, oil palm is grown commercially in 11 be developed or planted. countries in Africa: Angola, Benin, Cameroon, Côte d'Ivoire, DR Congo, Ghana, Guinea, Liberia, Nigeria, Sierra Leone, and Togo, but their global contribution to the palm oil industry is minor (Image 12). There are now more African countries that are producing palm oil, but major export volumes are limited to a few trading partners (Image 13), with India, the European Union, and China being major importers (Image 14; Potter, 2015). A total area of 27,000in sub-Saharan Africa is estimated to have oil palm as the intended crop (Arcus Foundation 2015).

The largest producer of palm oil in Africa in terms of total production is Nigeria, but despite being the world's fifth-largest palm oil producer it only makes up 2% of global production. The other main producer is Ghana, with Côte d'Ivoire not far behind. These countries have increased production by a factor two to five between 1964 and 2014 (Image 12 and Image 15).

At present, continent-wide data on areas covered by oil palm do not exist for Africa. There is therefore no systematic procedure to determine the extent of recent increases in oil palm plantations. However, the recent increase of 'land grabs' in a number of countries shows the interest of industry to expand in Africa (Carrere 2013). Four international palm oil companies have plans to expand in Liberia: Sime Darby, Golden Veroleum, Equatorial Palm Oil Limited and Socfin/ Cavalla. All of these companies have signed concession agreements with the Liberian government estimated to cover 200,000 ha of land per operator (L. Walsh, pers. comm. 2015) although it's uncertain to what extent these areas will

To date, most oil palm areas are smallholder plantations, or so called 'traditional' plantations. For some time, largescale development has been accused of being a negative force for local communities with people losing autonomy and being under-compensated, and incidents of human rights violations and land grabbing in areas of oil palm development are at the forefront of this criticism. However, the reality on the ground shows an increase of smallholder development, suggesting that local communities see it as an appealing income opportunity as long as smallholders benefit directly from this development (Rist et al. 2010a)., at least in Indonesia and Malaysia.

The expansion into Africa could be potentially lucrative both for the country producing the palm oil and for foreign investors. The benefits from the palm oil industry could help to enhance national infrastructure by building hospitals, improving schools and creating road networks. For this reason, Africa has been identified as a primary target in a wave of land acquisition for oil palm development, with Asian- and European-based investors accounting for twothirds of that activity. A recent report across all major commodities identified 754 land deals covering 56.2 million hectares that have taken place in sub-Saharan Africa since 2000, with the oil palm industry having an unknown share of this (Anseeuw et al. 2012).

IMAGE 11

All land in Africa that is suitable for oil palm plantations (based on Wich et al 2014).



IMAGE 12

Five largest palm oil producers in Africa (USDA 2015)



IMAGE 13

Five largest palm oil exporters in Africa in 2014 (USDA, 2015)

IMAGE 15

Annual palm oil production of Nigeria and Côte d' Ivoire from 1964-2014 (USDA, 2015)



IMAGE 14

Five largest palm oil importers worldwide in 2014 (USDA, 2015)





AFRICAN COUNTRIES WITH THE HIGHEST POTENTIAL FOR OIL PALM DEVELOPMENT

Great uncertainty exists as to where the future Togo, and Uganda (Bwenda et al. 2008). Much of the palm oil expansion of oil palm in Africa will occur. It is likely that investment will focus on those countries that have historically been or currently are the most significant producers in the continent. In Nigeria, for example, palm oil is produced from a total area of three million hectares of land, of which some 370,000 hectares (12%) are industrial plantations while the rest is primarily smallholder plantations. In Ghana, the area planted with oil palm was 330,000 hectares in 2010 (Ofosu-Budu & Sarpong 2013) and 80% of the production originates from smallholders (see below). In Côte d'Ivoire in 2006, 160,000 hectares of plantations had been established (OWM 2005).

There are also significant areas of oil palm plantations in Guinea (310,000 hectares), DR Congo (210,000 hectares: 70,000 hectares as industrial plantations and the rest as village groves: Hoyle & Levang 2012), and Sierra Leone (29,000 hectares) along with smaller areas in Benin, Burundi, the Central African Republic, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Gambia, Guinea Bissau, Liberia, Senegal, Tanzania, currently produced in these countries is used domestically rather than exported, and there is an unmet demand of 360,000 tonnes of palm oil in West Africa annually (Garley 2011). Because of the proximity of Africa to the European market, the oil palm industry could use Africa as a major provider of palm oil to European countries in the future.





Top Five Palm Oil Producers in Africa



NIGERIA

Although Nigeria has already lost or degraded most of its tropical forest through unsustainable land use practices, the remaining forests harbor a rich biodiversity, including chimpanzees and Cross River gorillas. Nigeria used to be the largest palm oil producer in the world until the civil war in 1967. At present, 24 million hectares of land is estimated as suitable for oil palm development in the country, but only 12.5% of this has actually been developed (Potter 2015). There is a shortage of palm oil in Nigeria meaning that around 150,000 tons is imported annually. Nigeria is therefore a country that could flourish by the further development of palm oil, provided that appropriate safeguards are developed in the country to minimize negative impacts of oil palm development (BusinessDay 2013).



1413

后的公司表达

STOPP COM

与下的 AN AF

GHANA

Ghana is home to the critically endangered Western chimpanzee. Ghana is one of the largest oil palm producers in Africa with 305,758 hectares under oil palm cultivation. Approximately 244,000 tonnes are produced annually of which 80% is cultivated by smallholders or private small-scale farmers. However, like Nigeria, Ghana does not produce sufficient palm oil to meet domestic demand. In 2007, about 150,000 tonnes of oils and fats were imported, of which 94% was palm oil (Osei-Amponsah et al. 2012). The smallholders have little leverage when and where they sell their palm oil because there is often only one company that can buy it locally, which can therefore set the price, often leaving smallholders under-compensated for their crop. This is exacerbated by the fact that oil palm farmers in Ghana, as well as many other countries, are not well organized and have no formal representation at the community level (Danyo 2013). There is a lot of potential for oil palm expansion in Ghana, but appropriate tenancy rules and arrangements need to be established to improve the profit margins of farmers and encourage them to increase productivity. Ghana has conducted a national interpretation of the RSPO Principles and Criteria to make it easier for producers to seek RSPO certification. In this regard, the country is a regional leader.

LIBERIA

Liberia is one of the last strongholds for Western chimpanzees. Agriculture is dominated by traditional subsistence farming systems, and oil palm development started in the 1970s with a single 70,000 hectare plantation. However years of civil unrest stopped the development of this industry until a few years ago, explaining why the country has not yet reached the production scale of other African countries (Arcus Foundation 2015). Today, most of the expansion of the industry is through large private stakeholders with three oil palm companies controlling a total of 830,187 hectares of land for oil palm production—or 7.5 % of the whole country's land area (Carrere 2013): Equatorial Palm Oil, Golden Veroleum, and Sime Darby, all RSPO members (Arcus Foundation 2015).

CAMEROON

With four taxa of great apes found in Cameroon, this country is a stronghold for African ape diversity: Central chimpanzees, Nigeria-Cameroon chimpanzees, Cross River gorilla, and Western Lowland gorillas. Palm oil is not new to Cameroon as the first commercial plantations were established there in 1907, but as demand continues to rise, producers are seeking to expand production in Cameroon with the aim of establishing new oil palm plantations during the next decade. There are extensive reviews of the situation in Cameroon (Arcus Foundation, 2015, and in Potter, 2015). The industrial production of palm oil is a national priority, initially to meet domestic demand and secondly for export (Hoyle & Levang 2012). The government aims to increase palm oil production from 265,000 metric tons in 2013 to 450,000 metric tons by 2020 (Hoyle and Levang 2012).

However, recent oil palm expansion in the country has caused concern for biodiversity through forest loss and fragmentation and resulting hunting pressure in the remaining forest areas (Abernethy et al. 2013). All concessions in the country overlap with great ape ranges. However, the cultivation of oil palm could also generate substantial economic returns and contribute to much-needed development for the country. The government appears to currently favor smallholder schemes for oil palm development to meet domestic demand first. But agro-industrial expansion also leads to a significant rate of deforestation throughout the country (Arcus Foundation 2015). The impact of non-subsistence crop development on local and national food supplies needs to be monitored to ensure that oil palm cultivation does not lead to a decrease in subsistence farming upon which so many communities rely.

Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC)

DR Congo is the only country to host all three African great apes, and also contains the entire natural range of the bonobo. Other great ape taxa found in this country include Central chimpanzees, Eastern chimpanzees, Grauer's gorillas, and Mountain gorilla. DR Congo was the world's second largest palm oil producer in the 1960s, but years of civil war, unrest and economic decline have left it as a minor producer. Today its production of palm oil does not even rank in the top ten worldwide. Production continued to decline until recently when processing plants were established in the country.

The forests of the Congo Basin—of which DR Congo is part—cover approximately 200 million hectares, store an estimated 25-30 gigatonnes of carbon and provide direct livelihood benefits for over 40 million people, including an estimated 500,000 indigenous forest people (Rainforest Foundation 2015). However, a significant proportion of these forests is also suitable for oil palm cultivation. In 2005, total palm oil production in DR Congo was estimated at 225,000 tonnes with only 25,000 tonnes from industrial plantations (Carrere 2013), but this situation subsequently changed with 70,000 hectares allocated for planting in 2009 by external investors. This is largely due to the reclamation of abandoned plantations for oil palm development, which is becoming more prevalent (Carrere 2013).



RANGE AND STATUS OF AFRICAN GREAT APES

There is concern that the expansion of industrial-scale oil palm plantations in Africa will have similar negative impacts on biodiversity, climate change, and local communities as identified in Southeast Asia. However there is still a dearth of information about potential negative impacts of oil palm development on natural resources and biodiversity in general, and great apes in particular (Linder 2013; Wich et al. 2014).

African great apes include the bonobo, the chimpanzee, the Western gorilla, and the Eastern gorilla. Chimpanzees are relatively widespread and found in 21 countries across equatorial Africa (Image 17). Conversely, bonobos are only found in DR Congo, making this species particularly vulnerable. Western gorillas are not as widely distributed as chimpanzees, but still occur in five countries, while the Cross River gorilla subspecies occurs only on the border area between Nigeria and Cameroon. The Eastern gorilla only occurs in DR Congo, Uganda, and Rwanda, with the Grauer's subspecies only found in DR Congo (Arcus Foundation 2015).

All ape species in Africa and in Asia have been negatively affected by direct or indirect interaction with people, leading the IUCN to list all of them as either 'endangered' or 'critically endangered'.

The distribution of great apes in Africa is restricted to the equatorial zone, which also coincides with the area that is suitable for oil palm development (Image 11). There is a 42.3% overlap between the distribution of great apes and areas suitable for oil palm cultivation (Image 16), but the potential impact of oil palm development differs between the four ape species: the percentage overlap between ape distribution and suitable land for oil palm is highest for the bonobo (98%), followed by the Eastern gorilla, the chimpanzee, and the Western gorilla (Image 17).

Similarly, the potential impact of oil palm expansion on apes in Africa is not distributed equally across countries (Image 18). For Ghana, Liberia, and Angola the proportion of ape habitat that is suitable for oil palm plantations is above 90%, while for Uganda, Burundi, and Tanzania it is below 10%.

Although there is no comprehensive database that contains all oil palm concessions in Africa, a subset of known oil palm plantations for which spatially explicit data on the boundaries of the concession are known showed a 58.7% overlap with ape distribution, with extensive variation between countries (Wich et al. 2014) (Image 20). The high overlap of ape species' distribution and oil palm suitability, and the fact that concessions have already been allocated or established within ape ranges, shows a high potential for direct and indirect negative impact of the oil palm industry on ape survival (Ancrenaz et al. 2015a). The obvious direct impacts occur when natural forests with great apes are cleared.

While all African ape species are legally protected across their range, similar to the situation in Indonesia and Malaysia their habitat is not, meaning that while it is illegal to kill gorillas, chimpanzees or bonobos it is not necessarily illegal to destroy their habitat. African apes can persist in many different types of forest, from mature old-growth forest with a rich food resource that is able to sustain a high density of chimpanzees, to previously logged forests which, depending on how and when it was harvested, may be able to support apes at varying densities. The Cross River gorilla survives in a fragmented forest landscape,

although habitat and dispersal corridors exist (Bergl et al. 2012). Chimpanzees, like those in Sierra Leone or in Guinea, can persist in human-modified habitats such as forest-farm mosaics in close vicinity to human communities, feeding in regenerating farm bush and secondary forest (Brncic et al. 2010). Degraded land can provide apes with important services such as cover and habitat corridors between food sources, even if the environment supports the population at lower density. In some cases areas classed as 'degraded' may even support higher densities of apes than primary forest (Arcus Foundation 2015). In southwest Nigeria, the chimpanzee is one of just a few large mammal species that withstands severe anthropogenic pressure, albeit at a much reduced density, although current trends, if left unabated, imply that their populations will be extirpated eventually (Greengrass 2009).

In addition to habitat loss, there are other impacts of oil palm development to consider. Depending on quality of plantation design and management, such impacts can include: habitat fragmentation, degradation, and reduced connectivity; introduction of infectious pathogens and increased risk of disease transmission, often exacerbated by increased stress levels and immunosuppression; increased human access to remote areas used by great apes; and increased hunting, persecution, and illegal trade. These impacts can affect wildlife and its habitat beyond the boundaries of a plantation and may be widespread and can persist beyond the lifetime of a project.

The impacts of oil palm development on African great apes has not been extensively studied, but some impacts on great ape ecology are reviewed by Ancrenaz

et al. (2015a). These impacts fluctuate with the type and the scale of the development, the phase of development (habitat clearance; young plantations; mature plantations), the species that is being affected, and local human-ape interactions (Ancrenaz et al. 2015a). Great apes have large brains, are intelligent, and have the capacity to adapt their behavior to changes in their environment. To a certain extent, they are resilient to anthropogenic pressure (Dunbar & Shultz 2007). Undoubtedly, the responses of Africa's great apes to drastic changes in their environment are complex and adaptations to these changes – such as increased ranging patterns, changes in diet, avoidance of people—may not necessarily provide adaptive benefits in the longer term.

Lessons from Southeast Asia suggest that the cumulative impacts on apes and biodiversity from oil palm expansion at the landscape scale, in addition to activities by other industries sharing the same landscape, will be severe. Data from 2012 suggest that more than 2.6 million hectares of land in West and Central Africa has been or is in the process of being developed into large-scale oil palm projects, much of which is forested and home to great ape populations (Greenpeace International 2012). More recent analyses indicate that in 2014 some 4.2 million hectares in sub-Saharan Africa had been allocated to large-scale oil palm projects (Schoneveld 2014).

Several of the key oil palm development threats facing great apes in Africa are reviewed here.

IMAGE 16

The distribution of apes across Western and Central Africa versus contracted or intended land deals, including oil palm (Arcus Foundation 2015). With permission from the Arcus Foundation.



IMAGE 18

oil palm suitability (based on Wich et al. 2014).



IMAGE 17



Areas within the ranges of the four great ape species that are suitable for oil palm development from a climatological point of view (Wich et al. 2014). Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Current Biology 24:1659-1663 (2014).

Percentage of overlap between ape species distribution and

illa	Eastern gorilla	Chimpanzee
	1/////	
	111111	

IMAGE 19

The percentage overlap between ape distribution and land suitable for oil palm (based on Wich et al. 2014).



IMAGE 20

Percentage overlap of oil palm concessions with ape distribution for five countries (based on Wich et al. 2014).





DIRECT HABITAT LOSS FROM PLANTATION DEVELOPMENT

may leave their natal community and move into another Plantation development involves the clearing of land, which might be forested, for the preparation of new community if the pressure on their territory is significant. plantings. Because the optimum size of an oil palm These transfers have long-term consequences for the plantation in economic terms is between 5,000 and 10,000 viability of chimpanzee communities. Males are territorial hectares, plantation development can involve large-scale and xenophobic, and thus are unlikely to move outside of loss of natural forest and great ape habitat (Greenpeace their territory. However, if they are forced to do so because International 2012). Clear felling results in the total their original habitat is destroyed, this will significantly loss or displacement of resident ape populations and is increase the risk of inter-community aggression (Wilson incompatible with ape persistence (Arcus Foundation 2013). et al. 2014b). Socialising is the 'glue' of ape society, and Extensive forest clearing will thus result in local extinction the associated noise from industrial development and of part of ape populations and possible shifts in their home operations is known to disrupt sociability (Morgan & Sanz range, creating social conflicts with neighboring great ape 2007). communities.

Clear felling of forest can permanently remove critical nest sites and cover. The disruption might also displace apes Changes in habitat quality are known to have negative into areas subject to higher hunting pressure, where they impacts on chimpanzee reproduction (Thompson et al. 2007). Because female chimpanzees establish relatively small might be targeted more frequently. Ultimately, reducing the core areas as young adults and maintain them throughout overall habitat available to a species reduces the carrying their lifetime, habitat loss can directly affect a female's capacity of that area, which in the long-term will result reproductive success through the removal of important in a reduction in population size. Forest conversion has food sources and displacement into ecologically less rich the worst negative impact on the short-term survival of areas. Socio-spatial organization may also be affected. In the animals and the long-term viability of the remaining chimpanzee societies, females are the dispersing sex and populations of apes (Ancrenaz et al. 2015b).



HABITAT FRAGMENTATION, DEGRADATION, AND REDUCED CONNECTIVITY

As ape populations become separated into smaller, distinct groups following habitat fragmentation, reduced dispersal and impaired gene flow will result in genetic inbreeding and drift. Isolated populations are also more prone to stochastic events, such as forest fires and other man-made or natural catastrophes.

Because apes may have to range more widely in degraded habitats to find food and fulfil their energy requirements, a reduction in connectivity between resources—such as food and mates—will severely impact their capacity to range efficiently. As an alternative they may turn to other available food sources such as nearby food crops, causing conflict with local people (Arcus Foundation 2015).

In the wild, chimpanzees exploit the fruit and flower of the oil palm and the pith of young plants (Humle & Matsuzawa 2004). With the exception of Mahale in Tanzania, all studied chimpanzee communities in proximity to oil palm consume its fruits. However, the extent of oil palm use and the number of parts consumed vary remarkably (Hockings et al. 2009; Humle & Matsuzawa 2004; Wrangham 1975). In areas where they are well tolerated by plantation workers and local communities, it is possible that a mosaic landscape that includes oil palm plantations could sustain a chimpanzee population if they could move safely within it. Given the context of bushmeat hunting and trade, however, and the intolerance that farmers often show towards primates, achieving such local tolerance would require significant awareness campaigns and other conservation strategies to achieve community support (Schoneveld-de Lange et al. 2016).

Road networks can also severely reduce connectivity and impede efficient ranging. Where the human population is low and the integrity of the forest preserved, chimpanzees have been observed to nest within sight of roads, suggesting a degree of tolerance. However, the perceived danger of a road may change considerably if apes need to cross one. Research has shown that chimpanzees assess road crossing risk in terms of road width and the amount and type of traffic (Hockings et al. 2006). When roads are used intensely, it is likely they become barriers to movement.

INCREASED DISEASE TRANSFER FROM HUMANS

Disease transfer from humans to great apes represents a major threat to their survival (Schaumburg et al. 2012). Apes are susceptible to a range of infections common to humans including viruses (Ebola, polioviruses, measles), bacteria (Shigella, coliforms), and parasites. Due to a lack of resistance to human pathogens a common cold in a human that passes to an ape can quickly develop into pneumonia. Highly infectious, pneumonia can quickly develop into an epidemic and cause significant mortality within a great ape group or a population. It is believed that stress caused by human pressure and genetic isolation can exacerbate the risk of disease transmission (Brack 1987; Leendertz et al. 2006).

As rural Africa is rapidly being converted into a mosaic of different land use types, ape populations are living in increasing proximity to humans, which in the long-term may have health implications for both species. Great apes cannot recover quickly from losses caused by disease because of their slow maturation and reproductive rates. The inter-birth interval for African apes averages 4–7 years (Wich et al. 2004). Infant mortality can be high under natural situations

and females do not become sexually mature until around twelve years of age (although this varies between ape species). Chronic disease has led to the extirpation of whole communities of apes (e.g., Rudicell et al. 2010).

Wherever concentrated numbers of humans work and live adjacent to ape habitat there is a risk of disease transfer. Although wild apes are usually wary of people they may come into close contact, especially when human waste and housekeeping adjacent to areas supporting apes are not properly managed, or if there is inadequate sanitation and sewage disposal. In West and Central Africa, villagers or workers often do not use pit latrines and defecate in open areas, which creates a direct sanitary risk of exposure to possible contaminants from human origin. Faeces and used toilet paper (where toilet paper is provided) can accumulate in areas of forest lying adjacent to the work areas, along roads, and at road blocks. Although human faeces decompose relatively rapidly and are unlikely to be investigated by apes, it does pose a risk.



OIL PALM AND EBOLA

In addition to the increased risk of infectious disease particularly respiratory pathogens—naturally occurring pathogens such as Ebola and Simian Immunodeficiency Virus (SIV) have been confirmed as important sources of mortality in wild gorillas and chimpanzees (Ryan & Walsh 2011). Since 1990, scientists estimate that up to one third of the world's chimpanzee and gorilla populations have been wiped out by the Ebola virus (Nellemann et al. 2010). Although the true extent of the impact remains uncertain, in 2002 and 2003 Ebola killed an estimated 5,000 gorillas in Gabon and Congo (Bermejo et al. 2006), while in 2004 it wiped out 95% of a 400-strong gorilla population in Congo within a year (Caillaud et al. 2006).

Researchers have hypothesized that oil palm expansion in Guinea is linked to the recent outbreak of human Ebola virus in Guinea, Liberia and Sierra Leone (Wallace et al. 2014), which since December 2013 has claimed over 11,000 human lives. Forest is rapidly declining in the region and since 2007 there has been a push to increase palm oil production and expand small-scale farms and industrialscale plantations. At the source of the Ebola outbreak in Guinea, land use is a mosaic of forest, farms, and oil palm plots, an environment that supports a key Ebola reservoir, the frugivorous bats of the Pteropodidae family. It is believed that the first human to become infected in this epidemic—a two-year old boy referred to as 'patient zero'-may have become infected after playing with a colony of bats in a hollow tree (Wallace et al. 2014). The expansion of oil palm in Africa could therefore potentially run the risk of Ebola in great apes, as well as in humans.

INCREASED HUNTING PRESSURE AND EXPLOITATION

In West and Central Africa, the bushmeat trade has grown substantially in recent decades, and hunting pressure has increased with human population growth and urbanization. Increased exploitation of natural resources has resulted in easier access to previously undisturbed forested areas. Hunting technology has also improved and firearms have become prevalent.

Bushmeat represents the main source of animal protein in many rural areas in Africa, and the subsistence trade can generate a substantial household income. Commercial hunters who supply the urban markets are more likely to target larger species of primates and ungulates, because the return for the money and effort invested is greater. Therefore great apes are most at risk from commercial hunting (Kuehl et al. 2009). Great apes in many parts of the region are killed for their meat or for their body parts for use in local medicine (Greengrass 2015).

As a result of increased poaching for bushmeat, the conservation status of many large mammal populations, including great apes, has been severely compromised. A study of commercial hunting in Liberia revealed an astonishingly high rate of chimpanzee killing in and around Sapo National Park (Greengrass 2015) and a study in Côte d'Ivoire concluded that a combination of hunting and habitat conversion had reduced the chimpanzee population by more than 90% within 20 years (Junker et al. 2012). Because bushmeat

is considered an open access resource, hunting and trade affect the quality of the relationships with neighboring are difficult to regulate and laws are rarely enforced (Fa & Brown 2009; Fa et al. 2002).

Large-scale industrial oil palm development may pose the biggest threat to primate diversity in areas of exceptionally high species endemism and where bushmeat hunting is already pervasive and intense (Linder 2013). The development of any new project drives in-migration to the area from job-seekers. This rapid rise in the local human population around commercial developments can have a profound impact on hunting pressure, not just in the project area but in adjacent areas of forest, seriously degrading the integrity of the ecosystem at a much larger scale. In-migration results in agricultural expansion, an increase in livestock and competing land uses, as well as an increase in hunting. In Africa, areas around commercialscale projects, whether active or abandoned, are often devoid of large mammal fauna.

Road construction, which is largely synonymous with agro-industrial projects (Laurance et al. 2014), exacerbates the situation because roads reduce travel costs and increase accessibility to market trade by local communities. Company traffic can also be used to transport carcasses unless prohibited and rigorously monitored. Companies are often under pressure to upgrade roads or even build new access roads as part of community projects. If these communities occur in particularly isolated areas, it is likely that road construction will access previously undisturbed regions where the biodiversity is the richest.

In some areas, taboos against hunting and consuming great apes exist, and this is a major reason explaining the survival of these animals in human-transformed landscapes. However, these taboos are being eroded by migrants looking for job opportunities in large plantations who do not share these beliefs. The hunting of great apes and other species is directly linked to small- and largescale forest conversion projects. Manual land clearing increases the susceptibility of wildlife to hunting by company employees since local workers may see it as a 'right' or 'perk' of the job to flush out wildlife and kill it as land is cleared. Contractors and even foreign workers also hunt with managers, the latter often turning a blind eye to such illegal activities.

Solutions do exist, however they have been slow and difficult to carry out in the overall African context (Wilkie & Carpenter 1999). Having a 'no hunting' company policy is hard to strictly enforce, since bushmeat consumption is part of some cultures, and in many cases even supervisors eat bushmeat. Controlling the access to their concessions from members of local communities is also challenging because infrastructure developments such as roads provide hunters with numerous easy entry points. In some cases, companies have established their own security force, but active policing might negatively

57

communities, particularly if law enforcement in the country as a whole is weak.

Permitting controlled hunting or other forms of resource exploitation—such as the collection of non-timber forest products—in the plantation can serve to strengthen relations with local communities. If, however, a rise in the local human population results in an increased demand for limited natural resources found within the landscape. the impact of such permitted practices on great apes and other wildlife can rapidly increase.

ILLEGAL TRADE

Any commercial project which employs 'rich' foreign workers often attracts individuals trying to sell and trade young primate species, including great apes. Live young might be the by-products of the bushmeat trade, but because foreign workers are likely to pay significantly more than the local market value, the buying of these infants exacerbates the trade by encouraging further hunting and trade in live infants. Given that an estimated ten adult chimpanzees are killed per infant captured, the impact of this is potentially significant (Stiles et al. 2013).

DELIBERATE PERSECUTION

The ability of apes to survive in human-modified landscapes is largely dependent on people's tolerance towards them. Like the oil palm related killings in Southeast Asia (Davis et al. 2013), there is a real risk that persecution of chimpanzees will also occur in oil palm concessions in Africa, given that this species is known to exploit oil palms. Where there is large-scale loss of prime ape habitat, starving apes could be forced to seek food in plantations, thereby increasing the risk of encounters with humans. However, research demonstrating a marked difference in behavior and ecology of orangutans living in an oil palm landscape as compared to those living in natural forest (Ancrenaz et al. 2015b; Ancrenaz et al. 2014) suggests that for chimpanzees, at least, an ability to adapt their behavior will reduce the risk of persecution. For example, in areas under high anthropogenic pressure, chimpanzees reduce their risk of human encounters by crop raiding during the night (Krief et al. 2014). However, more serious problems can arise when chimpanzees are deliberately hunted and killed or caught for raiding in oil palm landscapes.



The wave of increased investment in industrial-scale oil palm in Africa is still in its early stages, but has already begun to impact great ape habitats. Early pioneers of the industry are still trying to work out how to operate in Africa. In areas where good planning and management have been lacking, the potential for negative impacts on apes is large. Unlike in Southeast Asia, however, the industry can still be guided into a direction that satisfies local government and community demand for development, but avoids large negative conservation impacts, or even generates positive conservation outcomes. Brief case studies from Cameroon, Nigeria, Liberia and Gabon illustrate the social and environmental conflicts that can arise when companies try to cut bilateral deals with governments without engaging in broader strategies seeking net-positive impacts across large landscapes. All four case studies are situated close to protected areas containing apes.

CAMEROON

The Nigeria-Cameroon chimpanzee is an important subspecies with a large proportion of its remaining populations occurring in Cameroon (Image 17). Allocating significant parts of its range to agricultural development will have a major impact on the survival of this subspecies and could lead to a large loss of diversity for chimpanzees in general (Prado-Martinez et al. 2013).

Herakles Farms is an American company active in the palm oil and timber industries. The company established oil palm plantations in the Southwest of Cameroon in 2009, between Korup National Park, Banyang Mbo Wildlife Sanctuary, Bakossi Mountains National Park, and Rumpi Hills Forest Reserve (Image 21). The total area of the concession was 73,000 hectares on a 99-year lease (Kupsch et al. 2014). The location of the concession areas presented a high risk of detrimental impacts on populations of both Nigeria-Cameroon chimpanzees and Western lowland gorillas.

A major issue with identifying suitable oil palm development areas is that there is no universally agreed definition of 'degraded' forest. Previously logged or damaged forest can rejuvenate quickly if not disturbed further. Data suggests that logging in and around the Herakles Farms concession area occurred 15-34 years ago (Pauli et al. 2014). This would give sufficient time for the forest to recover, so that clearance for oil palm could have displaced a significant chimpanzee population. Across the total concession area of Mundemba and Toko, the estimated total chimpanzee population within the concession was around 17 individuals, but this could be a significant underestimate.

This shows that automatically classifying previously logged forest as non-HCV risks losing potentially important biodiversity, and the lack of an operational definition of 'degraded forest' leaves it open to a wide range of interpretations, many of which do not reflect the environmental importance of some previously disturbed areas. The recently developed HCSF concept tries to achieve a working definition for this concept (HCS 2015), but this approach has not yet been officially accepted as standard yet.

Since its inception, the Herakles Farm project faced many accusations of inappropriate activities. In 2009, the Ministry of Forestry and Wildlife found that Herakles Farms had overlapped its plantation area with mature intact forest believed to be of High Conservation Value (HCV), despite this being prohibited by the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO), of which it was a member. The area was said to be degraded land, but satellite image analyses indicated dense, intact, high canopy forest, and the development later encountered more environmental, social, and legal issues. When Herakles Farms ultimately cleared land without the President of Cameroon having signed the lease, the government terminated its contract, and finally abandoned all operations in Mundemba and Toko concession areas in 2015 (www.forestpeoples.org, 2015).

A recent analysis of satellite images in southern Cameroon shows that in three years (2011-2014) more than 3,000 ha of dense forests were destroyed by Chinese and Singapore-based companies close to the Dja Faunal Reserve, a UNESCO World Heritage site. If the two concessions (Hevea Sud and Hevea Nord) allocated by the government are entirely developed, more than 40,000 ha of forest (90% of it being dense forest) will be destroyed (Komarova & Zhurevleva 2014). This area is a prime habitat for chimpanzees and other protected species. It is feared that the presence of these large-scale plantations will have disastrous consequences for the long-term survival of wildlife populations found within the Dja Faunal reserve.

In Cameroon, the allocation of agricultural concession does not necessarily comply with the environmental laws, HCV areas can be converted to other types of land use, Environmental and Social Impact Assessments are given little consideration in the decision-making process, and local community rights are often not acknowledged (Rainer & Lanjouw 2015).

NIGERIA

The largest producer of palm oil in Africa is Nigeria, where palm oil giant Wilmar has begun establishing industrial plantations. Wilmar is one of the largest oil palm plantation owners in Indonesia and Malaysia. Only 6% of its palm oil is produced in Africa, but it will have close to 30,000 ha in Nigeria when plantation development is completed, including the Northern and Western triad of plantations: Biase (8,688 ha), Ibiae (5,561 ha), and Calaro (5,483 ha). These concessions are all held by Wilmar and the plantations border protected areas, reserves and national parks including Oban Group Forest Reserve, Ekinta River Forest Reserve and Cross River National Park. The concessions were established in 1963, but Wilmar did not acquire them for oil palm plantations until 2012. Wilmar reports that only 14,300 ha are being cultivated as of 2014, however the acquisition of larger areas for further cultivation is a major goal of the company.

Some areas allocated to agricultural development in Nigeria contain the Cross River gorilla, which is found in the highland forests on the border of Cameroon and Nigeria. The Cross River gorilla is the most endangered ape in Africa with an estimate of 250-300 individuals left in the wild. It is under threat from habitat loss, deforestation, bush fires, and subsistence agriculture, which are often exacerbated by logging and commercial agriculture, making these industries major drivers of population decline in the region. The remaining gorilla population is restricted to an 800,000 ha area divided into 10 groups (Teaby 2015). In addition, the close proximity of the Oban Group to the Korup National Park means that it is highly likely that developments in this area could also harm the Nigeria-Cameroon chimpanzee population.



The problems that have arisen in Nigeria appear to be similar to those in Cameroon: unclear legislation, disputed land use boundaries, conversion of sensitive natural habitat and social issues. The result has been increased threats to vulnerable gorilla populations which may compromise the survival of the subspecies. Strengthened conservation efforts and multistakeholder guidance of plantation expansion that takes economic as well as social and environmental concerns into consideration will be indispensable.

LIBERIA

Liberia has never been a large producer of palm oil and is ranked 24th worldwide. However, the country is in the process of expanding its oil palm industry by inviting large companies, such as Equatorial Palm Oil, Sime Darby and Golden Veroleum, to develop plantations (Rainer & Lanjouw 2015).

Golden Veroleum Liberia (GVL) started its operations in 2008 with a total concession area of 220,000 hectares in the southeast of the country. The Kpayan district concession (area 8,000 ha) is close to Sapo National Park and has plans for expansion right up to its borders and the borders of Krahn Bassa National Forest (Image 22). The country has an estimated population of 7,000 chimpanzees, the second largest national population of Western chimpanzees. The Kpayan area is approximately 14km from Sapo National Park, known to be home to West Africa's largest remaining forest bloc and containing a priority population of these apes (Tweh et al. 2015). Soon after the onset of the operations by GVL, local stakeholders started to file complaints to the RSPO about the lack of proper Free and Prior Informed Consent (FPIC) processes before land transformation. In particular, these complaints exposed the lack of an integrated development strategy, poor transparency, lack of involvement of local community representatives in land use decisions, and lack of respect for local rights (Rainer & Lanjouw 2015). Since then, Golden Veroleum has been under critical scrutiny, particularly by Global Witness and other NGOs, and the company has taken steps to improve its practices on the ground (L. Walsh, pers. comm., 2015).

Recently, an agreement was signed between the governments of Liberia and Norway. One of the pillars of this agreement is a deforestation-free agriculture sector for the country. The government of Liberia has thus decided to issue very strict guidelines of no-deforestation with which any company that intends to develop palm oil and other crops in the country must adhere. Liberia provides a clear example of a developing nation that has taken a jurisdictional decision to mitigate the negative social and environmental impacts of agro-industrial development.

Governments can play a critical role in deciding the development path that a nation can take. A way to tackle deforestation due to oil palm development would be to embrace a 'jurisdictional approach', implementing a development plan at the level of a nation or a state throughout the entire landscape, and not through individual, isolated initiatives. Liberia is paving the way for such an approach to be adopted in Africa. Increasingly, governmental and non-governmental organizations recognize that positive, lasting solutions could reconcile agricultural expansion, sustainable development, and use of natural resources. Required multi-stakeholder processes for this planning need to be driven by governments, operationalized at the jurisdictional level, and supported by private industry, NGOs, and the general public.

GABON

In November 2010, the Gabonese government signed an agreement with Olam International, a Singaporelisted company, to develop 100,000 ha of industrial oil palm plantations and 50,000 ha of rubber plantations in the country (Arcus Foundation 2015). Being an RSPO member, Olam included great ape surveys in the spatial zoning study they undertook before land conversion. The initial field assessment included extensive wildlife surveys with a particular emphasis on great ape distribution and abundance. Apes are protected by law in Gabon and are classified as HCV1 under RSPO, and Olam wanted to 1) avoid major ape concentrations, 2) maintain the status of viable ape populations wherever they range and 3) develop the concession in ways that would avoid jeopardizing the safety of the animals. Working with ape specialists, key areas for great apes were identified as well as corridors that needed to be maintained to ensure population connectivity.

The assessment of three initial concessions (about 50,000 ha) showed that large blocks of HCV forests were covering the majority of the land; they could not be developed according to the RSPO criteria and to Olam policies. Out of these concessions, the company selected an area of 20,000 ha from which only 7,000 ha was finally allocated for oil palm development. Today the plantation covers less than 13% of the initial 50,000 ha. Similar land use planning exercises including EIAs, HCV assessment, and FPIC with local communities were conducted for the entire land bank allocated by the government to Olam. Eventually, the company expects to develop not more than 45% of the total area originally acquired.

Assisted by expert NGOs and scientists, the company developed a great ape management plan which is currently implemented to ensure the long-term survival of the ape population. This plan has six major objectives:

Allocate areas of intact habitat (HCV areas) for preservation;

Ensure robust baseline and ongoing monitoring protocols;

• Require phased land preparation to enable wildlife to move into HCV areas;

 Implement protocols that mitigate the potential for disease transmission between humans and apes;

 Impose hunting controls and raise awareness among local communities;

• Support the development of subsistence programs to promote alternatives to hunting.

TEXT BOX 7: WILMAR IN AFRICA

Limited is one of the world's largest agribusiness groups and the largest supplier of edible oils in Africa (Wilmar 2014). In Africa, the group directly or in Uganda, Côte d'Ivoire, Nigeria, and Ghana totaling 59,000 ha of planted oil palm areas. In addition, the joint ventures also manage over 150,000 ha of smallholder and outgrower schemes (Wilmar 2014). In accordance with Wilmar's 'No Deforestation, No Peat, December 2013, the group commits to ensuring that all its operations in Africa Wilmar's landmark 'No Deforestation' policy would transform the palm oil is unable to prove that its suppliers Whether more positive environmental Wilmar in Africa will depend significantly industrial scale oil palm can be developed

IMAGE 21



Herakles Farms planned oil palm concessions in Cameroon [Red = Present Development] (Greenpeace International, 2012)

IMAGE 22

Golden Veroleum oil palm concession area in the southeast of Liberia [Red = Present Development, Yellow = Areas of Potential Expansion] (Greenpeace International, 2012)




PART THREE SUPPORTING GREAT APE CONSERVATION THROUGH RESPONSIBLE

SUMMARY

Several certification systems for sustainable practices have emerged within the palm oil industry, the most prominent being the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO), which was created in 2004. As of today, 20% of the crude palm oil produced in the world is RSPO-certified. The two production leaders (Indonesia and Malaysia) have recently developed their own standards to ensure that all producers follow their respective laws: Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO), and Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil (MSPO).

Unfortunately, the Principles & Criteria (P&Cs) developed in these three certification schemes are too generic to efficiently address the needs of great ape management and conservation. Best Management Practices (BMPs) specific to orangutans and African great apes need to be developed and endorsed by growers, and designed in clear, simple terms that ensure effective implementation. The oil palm industry needs to develop BMPs in collaboration with great ape conservation experts, implemented by a team of ecologists that would be properly trained and employed by the company, rather than relying on external consultants to manage great apes and other protected species.

Translating great ape guidelines from BMP and Standard

The GreenPalm trading platform, allowing producers and users to trade certificates for production of sustainable palm oil (thus allowing production of both Certified Sustainable Palm Oil (CSPO) and conventional oil), may have been significant in promoting initial uptake of RSPO standards. However, it now stands as a barrier 'physically separated' supply chain models, and should be phased out.

There has been a failure to enforce compliance with regards to RSPO Principles & Criteria, so that even member companies shown to have been contravening these regulations are not excluded from membership. More emphasis should be placed on ensuring that members are committed to following the P&Cs, with closer monitoring and investigation of member practices

68

It is by far the largest and most widely recognized international regulatory and certification system membership from a range of palm oil producers, traders, and users. Certification also has financial benefits. Recent initiatives such as the Palm Oil Innovation Group (POIG) and RSPO NEXT have shown the potential for new developments within the RSPO framework to build on the basic standards and provide enhanced targets and monitoring systems.

Operation Procedures (SOPs) into actual on-theground management is challenging for most companies because they lack the capacity to understand and interpret BMPs across all management levels. For any BMP to be utilised successfully, a necessary first step is for companies to create, establish, and develop their own in-house capacity to identify, monitor, and manage biodiversity elements that occur within their estates. Companies need to employ their own teams of ecologists to monitor and manage all HCV forests in their plantations. These teams need to have sufficient authority to influence estate planning that is in line with company commitments towards biodiversity conservation, and ensure that this planning is changed, if required. If and when great ape presence is reported in an HCV assessment report, the company should collaborate with a local group with great apes expertise to develop an ape monitoring strategy and SOP, and to train their own HCV team in ape-monitoring techniques.

The presence of protected species within an estate should ideally be seen as a business opportunity and not a problem; instead of considering species such as elephants, great apes and other wildlife as a 'liability', these animals should be perceived as an 'asset' to the companies in terms of public relations opportunities or the development of new activities such as tourism, which might also provide economic benefit to local communities.

TEXT BOX 8: THE MARKET VALUE OF **CERTIFICATION**

IOI Corporation's (IOI:MK) shares railed 5% to 4.45 ringgit from 4.23 ringgit on 6 August 2016 on the news that the RSPO would lift its suspension of IOI two days later. Volume of shares traded was margin RSPO market.

The RSPO Complaints Panel also commissioned

complaints by NGO groups, which charged that IOI failed to prevent subsidiaries from illega

To mitigate public concerns about poor practices, various industries have adopted sustainable 'certification' schemes such as the Forest Stewardship Council or the Marine Stewardship Council. The palm oil industry also adopted stricter standards for production and for export. Within this industry alone, several certification systems have emerged that provide a framework for sustainable production, the most prominent of which is the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO).

As the RSPO grows in strength, the idea of certification has become almost a norm within the palm oil industry, largely due to pressure from the end buyers of their product. It has not, however, been universally accepted by the industry as a whole. Indonesia and Malaysia produce two-thirds of the world's palm oil. In these two countries, with the support of their respective Governments, the palm oil industry has produced its own certification schemes, with Indonesia launching Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO) in 2011 and Malaysia introducing Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil (MSPO) in 2013.

This review addresses some of the strengths, gaps and weaknesses of these certification schemes regarding orangutan conservation, and offers generic recommendations.



TEXT BOX 9: CRITICISM OF THE RSPO

The RSPO was established in 2004 with the aim of making sustainable palm oil the norm. The organization launched a certification scheme to promote sustainable palm oil products adhering to agreed global standards. The objective of the RSPO is a positive one, but many have criticized the organization and alleged that it is too conciliatory and unable to guarantee the compliance of its members. Key criticisms have included:

Palm oil supplies are not fully traceable from of supply chain transparency makes it impossible to ascertain whether all the palm oil used in a particular product comes from sustainable sources.

using RSPO-certified palm oil are not able to achieve the premium price required to justify using a more expensive



ROUNDTABLE ON SUSTAINABLE PALM OIL (RSPO)

The RSPO is a not-for-profit association that was founded in 2004 to unite stakeholders from seven sectors of the palm oil industry – palm oil producers, palm oil processors or traders, consumer goods manufacturers, retailers, banks and investors, environmental or nature conservation organizations, and social or developmental organizations—to make sustainable palm oil the norm. The RSPO currently has over 2,500 members and certifies 20% of the global palm oil production in 13 countries, representing 12.1 MT of certified sustainable palm oil and 2.66 million ha of certified plantations (RSPO 2014).

A number of countries have committed to importing only 100% RSPO-certified palm oil. These include Denmark, Germany, the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, and France, but many more have shown an interest in following this initiative. Several global companies have also committed to the intake of 100% RSPO-certified palm oil, including Nestlé, Unilever, Carrefour, and Johnson & Johnson, while other companies are advocating for more stringent principles. Despite this growing interest in RSPO certification there has been criticism (Text Box 13), especially from the NGO sector (Ruysschaert & Rainer 2015).

In 2005, the RSPO adopted the HCV approach for its sustainability strategy. All RSPO members developing

plantations after November 2005 must conduct an HCV assessment prior to forest clearance (Text Box 11). Those areas that have been recognized as HCV cannot be converted. In 2007, following a two-year trial period, the RSPO launched the Principles & Criteria (P&Cs) which must be followed and implemented by members to become certified. In 2013, the P&Cs were revised following a first implementation phase of five years. It was then agreed that the RSPO would revise these P&Cs every five years.

As of 2013, the updated RSPO standards contain eight general principles, 43 criteria and 166 'indicators and specific guidance' specifications. These principles and criteria are generic and apply to all countries. However, each country can further adapt them under their 'National Interpretation of the P&Cs' to accommodate for national policy differences. As a result, the number of 'indicators and guidance' specifications vary between implementing countries.

Indicators are specific pieces of objective evidence that must be in place to demonstrate or verify that a Criterion is met. Guidance consists of useful information to help the grower or miller and the auditor understand what a Criterion or Indicator means in practice, to indicate good practice, and practices that should be followed. Specific Guidance has also been included on certain Indicators for added clarity, as well as specific points to be addressed in National Interpretations. In most cases, guidance for smallholder production is found in several documents that specify standards for that sector (RSPO P&Cs 2013). Thirdparty independent auditors use these P&Cs to assess and to determine whether or not a plantation or a mill can be certified. Five of these principles contain a number of criteria and indicators that are directly relevant to great ape management and conservation, especially criteria 5.2 and 7.3 (Text Box 15).

As an organization with voluntary membership, the RSPO does not have an enforcement mandate. The organization relies mostly on auditors and on civil society to monitor the compliance of its members with the P&Cs. RSPO has developed a Complaints System to address non-compliance. This system provides a platform to anyone (including members or non-member affected stakeholders) that has a grievance with RSPO members with regard to breaches to the P&Cs or to the RSPO Code of Conduct.

The RSPO has moved to address some of its weaknesses and to answer concerns of some of its members recently by reinforcing its mandate towards the conservation of natural resources (Ruysschaert & Rainer 2015). RSPO NEXT was officially endorsed during the 2015 RSPO Conference held in Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia), and this initiative is designed to strengthen standards on peat, deforestation, and social requirements (Text Box 16).

TEXT BOX 10: RSPO NEXT

In February 2016, RSPO responded to calls from its members to make supply chains greener and more ethical through the launch of RSPO NEXT. This new voluntary standard requires each company to have a public and open policy of no deforestation, no planting on peatland of any depth, and no planting on HCV and HCS areas—these areas must be set aside as protected forests and be managed effectively by the company.

RSPO NEXT embraces a no-fire policy and stipulates that GHG emissions across the whole organization must be monitored, reduced and publicly reported every year. Social criteria stipulate that decent living wages are paid to the workers and that outreach programmes on sustainability are conducted with smallholders. All mills must also be able to identify where all of their palm fruits come from, including percentages of their own production and those of their associated smallholders. Only companies that are already buying 100% CSPO are allowed to purchase the RSPO NEXT credits.

INDONESIAN SUSTAINABLE PALM OIL (ISPO)

Indonesia Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO) was initiated by certification process for smallholders, estimated to be Indonesia's Ministry of Agriculture as a national non-profit organization aiming to increase the competitiveness of the Indonesian oil palm product in the global market by addressing environmental issues. The mission of ISPO is to ensure that oil palm plantations are in compliance with Indonesian laws and regulations. ISPO is compulsory for all oil palm plantations in Indonesia. Since May 2012, ISPO has conducted audits by independent certification bodies. However, information on ISPO progress, members, certification assessment results and sanctions for noncompliance or complaints is difficult to find.

In 2015, ISPO, supported by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), initiated a nationwide responsible for 40% of national production. This initiative aims to ensure that smallholders also meet Indonesian legal requirements and respect basic laws towards environment and social issues.



TEXT BOX 11. RSPO P&C AND GREAT APE CONSERVATION

RSPO P&Cs include a number of principles and criteria that are directly or indirectly relevant

Principle 1: Commitment to transparency Criteria 1.1: Growers and millers provide adequate information to relevant stakeholders and forms to allow for effective participation in decision making. • Criteria 1.2: Management documents are publicly available, except where this is

Principle 5: Environmental responsibility and conservation of natural resources and biodiversity

- promote the positive ones are made, implemented and monitored, to demonstrate continual

Principle 7: Responsible development of new plantings

- Criteria 7.1: A comprehensive and participatory independent social and environmental
- Criteria 7.3: New plantings since November 2005 have not replaced primary forest or any

Principle 8: Commitment to continual improvement in key areas of activity

Principle 4: Use of appropriate best practices by growers and millers

• Criteria 5.2: The status of rare, threatened or endangered species and other High

situations as identified in the ASEAN guidelines or other regional best practice.

expanding existing ones, and the results incorporated into planning, management and

including peat, is avoided.

IMAGE 23

13 countries now have RSPO certified producers (RSPO 2015)



TEXT BOX 12: CERTIFICATION AND BEYOND

In recent years, some non-governmental organizations and corporations have argued for the development of stronger guidelines and management practices that would break the link between oil palm development and deforestation. The 'Palm Oil Innovation Group' established by Wilmar, Asia Pulp and Paper, and Golden Agri-Resources pledged to adhere to 'zero-deforestation' or 'deforestation-free' policies for the commodities they produce, source, or trade. These companies decided to apply these standards to all joint ventures, small investments, and holdings – not just the 50% of their holdings as currently requested under RSPO. Such strategies call for transparency, traceability, and due diligence in fresh fruit bunches sourcing into mills.

'The Palm Oil Manifesto', a high-level initiative initially signed by Apical, Asian Agri, Cargill, IOI, KLKB, Musim Mas, Sime Darby and Unilever, was set up to enhance the RSPO P&Cs with three major objectives: 1) to build traceable and transparent supply chains; 2) to accelerate the 'no deforestation' agenda through the conservation of High Carbon Stock (HCS) forests and the protection of all peat areas, regardless of depth; and 3) to increase the focus on driving beneficial economic change, and to ensure a positive social impact. The Manifesto commanded a High Carbon Stock study to provide guidance as to what type of forest could or could not be used for oil palm conversion. The results of this study were made available in December 2015.

The HCS+ approach provides a process to integrate the concepts of HCS, HCV, and FPIC together into the development of any new plantation, including land conversion for oil palm plantations must maintain critical ecosystem services; oil palm development must ensure socio-economic benefits for local communities; and oil palm development must be economically viable.

These no-deforestation pledges have attracted an increasing number of leading consumer brands in response to pressure originating from green NGOs and the public. The Forest Trust introduced a 'VT TV' ('Values, Transparency, Transformation, and Verification') scheme that is posited as a more efficient way to protect forest, environmental resources, and human rights than certification (Poynton 2015).

A growing number of analysts find that certification is too weak and hampers innovation, resulting in an inefficient system. When standards are too low, there is no additional incentive for companies to be better at what they do. Certification targets could be reinforced with goals based on company values in order to make people, companies, and society at large more aware and more responsible in their practices. This is possible only if companies, local communities, and local NGOs work together.



MALAYSIAN SUSTAINABLE PALM OIL (MSPO)

Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil (MSPO) aims for all oil palm producers within the country to voluntarily comply with the federal and state laws. The MSPO was introduced under the Malaysian Standards Department (MSD), a federal government agency. MSPO follows the P&Cs approach of the RSPO. However, this approach appears a much reduced version compared to RSPO, offering little guidance on how to achieve these P&Cs.

The MSPO standards consist of four parts: 1) General principles; 2) General principles for independent smallholders; 3) General principles for organized smallholders; 4) General principles for oil palm mills. The requirements to obtain the certificate vary under different sections. For example, Part 3 states that an environmental plan needs to be developed, but without specifying what this plan should be. Under 'Principle 5-Criterion 1-Indicator 1', it is said that smallholders are expected to be aware of the environmental impact of their practices they are not expected to carry out formal impact assessment or mitigation measures unless there is a legal requirement.

OTHER CERTIFICATION SCHEMES

Additional certification schemes have been developed and can be relevant for the palm oil industry. Some of these schemes and groups are informal, but they all pursue a goal for more responsible and sustainable practices, including the Roundtable on Sustainable Biofuels, the Sustainable Agriculture Network, and the International Sustainability and Carbon Certification. Some groups are going even further and are advocating for more radical transformation of the traditional practices (Text Box 17).

International banks and large financial organizations, such as the World Bank and the International Finance Corporation, are also developing their own guidelines to limit funding to the best-performing companies, and not lend any support to companies that have a detrimental impact on the environment.

Recently, the World Bank commissioned a report for mainstreaming ape conservation into their policies and actions, Taking Ape Conservation to Heart: A Strategy for Mainstreaming Ape Conservation into World Bank Policies and Actions (Kormos et al 2014). The report advocates more responsible land use decision making and comprehensive planning across policy makers and industries.



BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES: ARE THEY AVAILABLE, USEFUL, AND USED BY THE INDUSTRY?

Numerous guidelines for best management practices (BMPs) in regard to great apes and other wildlife within an oil palm landscape have been developed over the past 20 years. The focus is generally on orangutans rather than other great apes species, given the long history of oil palm development in Southeast Asia. Although some guidelines are generic, many include recommendations that can still be applied in the context of managing all great apes and their habitats. Among those are:

The High Conservation Value Forest Tool Kit (HCV Network)

A practical handbook for conserving high conservation value species and habitats within oil palm landscapes (ZSL / HCV Network)

Environmental management guidelines for the palm oil industry

Unilever good agricultural practice guidelines

The following guidelines are more specific to great apes:

Best Practice Guidelines for the prevention and mitigation of conflicts between humans and great apes, IUCN/SSC Primate Specialist Group

Best practices for orangutan conservation - oil palm concessions (Orangutan Conservation Services Program, USAID)

Guidelines for the Better Management Practices on Avoidance, Mitigation and Management of Human-Orangutan Conflict in and around Oil palm Plantations (WWF-Indonesia)

Konservasi Orangutan dan Habitatnya di Wilayah Perkebunan Kelapa Sawit (Wilmar and BOSF)

Conservation Management Plan (CMP) and BMP (Teladan Prima Group / Ecositrop)

Guidelines for orangutan management developed (United Plantation / Copenhagen Zoo)

These BMPs focus mostly on broad great ape management practices. They cover topics such as conflict mitigation techniques, compliance with existing laws and regulations, guidelines for preparing monitoring and management plans, awareness campaigns and information.

Although the need for BMPs is increasingly understood and accepted at senior and mid-management levels, the uptake of these BMPs and their field implementation has generally been limited. Translating BMPs into new and progressive practices on the ground is difficult because most companies have insufficient capacity to turn BMP guidance into effective on-the-ground implementation. The implementation of BMPs requires experienced field personnel equipped with a set of skills that covers ecology, spatial analysis, biodiversity, ecosystem services, and monitoring. It also requires a genuine interest in and concern for conservation issues, and sufficient authority to translate these concerns into changed management practices on the ground, such as estate planning. In many countries, experts who have both the necessary technical knowledge and a strong commitment to conservation are limited in number, and often the best candidates are former conservation workers who have acquired this skill-set and end up working for the extractives (mining, forestry) and

agricultural (oil palm, pulp and paper) industries.

Companies often hire outside consultants to conduct HCV assessments and to monitor them. Some companies will take the relatively easy step to set aside—sometimes only on paper—areas identified for biodiversity conservation. Active management of these set-aside areas is usually either weak or non-existent, illegal logging and hunting are often rampant, and transparent monitoring is not implemented even though this is feasible with high-resolution satellites.

Relying solely on the often short-term involvement of external consultants from private companies, NGOs or academic institutions to manage environmental resources and HCV forests is unlikely to result in robust on-the-ground practices. For any BMPs to become used and useful, it is necessary for companies to create, establish and develop their own in-house capacity to identify, monitor, and manage biodiversity elements that occur within their estates. This also means that biodiversity management should be considered a core task for each company, just like other aspects of plantation management including land clearing, planting, fertilizing, pest control, harvesting, workers training, and corporate social responsibility (CSR).

Another way to increase the uptake of BMP is to produce simple guidelines that are easy to understand and implement in the field. Most effective is to adhere to a 'dos and don'ts' approach, and to identify clear and simple outputs which can be easily quantified and monitored.

The core business of the palm oil industry is not conservation, but oil production. Times are changing, however, and properly managing the environment and great apes in concessions is not only a legal requirement, but also an opportunity to contribute towards long-term survival of these species and their habitats. This will benefit companies in return, by improving their public image and offering easier access to financing. There are many ways to quantify benefits, but there is an obvious need for more research to better understand the co-benefits of good environmental management so that these can be used to promote the uptake of best management practices. The development of great ape BMPs has been largely driven by NGOs to date, however, primarily for animal welfare reasons, without a real understanding of the needs and demands from the industry. business needs would be to quantify how managing environmental resources sustainably could benefit

76

WHY DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT **BMPS**?

One key aspect of developing BMPs more in line with companies directly and indirectly. One example is to reduce plantation development and management costs and increase yields by optimizing the use of ecosystems services (HCS 2015). Good hydrological management that maintains peat swamp and riparian forests will ensure good water flow during drier periods and reduced flooding events during periods of high rainfall. It will also supply sufficient water of proper quality and contribute to maintaining yields, whilst also maintaining the integrity of great ape habitat around plantation areas.



Other services provided by forests that benefit both oil palm and biodiversity include improved pest control from forest species, by maintaining natural habitat for predators of rodents such as snakes or leopard cats (Koh 2008), and the reduction of temperature extremes because of temperature buffering forest remnants (Ramdani et al. 2014), which could positively influence palm fruit ripening, setting (Cao et al. 2011), and yields.

Perhaps even more important than the direct financial benefits of implementing BMPs would be the reduction in criticism and negative allegations made by some NGOs and consumer groups. This would help improve the overall public perception of the palm oil industry (Text Box 10). A more positive perception by the public will not only contribute to maintaining existing markets, but will also generate a demand from new markets for the industry as a whole, including markets that are presently closed to oil palm imports, thereby expanding the reach of this industry. Benefits of BMPs go beyond the industry itself. Additional beneficiaries include local communities, which rely on the use of natural resources such as fisheries, and society at large, as healthy ecosystem services will ensure water quality. This will minimize flood and landslide risks, and minimize pollution and associated costs.

Often, companies are not necessarily aware of the species that occur in the areas they wish to develop. It is therefore important for scientists to produce accurate distribution maps showing the range of protected species and to share them with those companies planning to operate within these ranges. Such data are available at the Ape Populations, Environments and Surveys (A.P.E.S.) Portal website (http://apesportal.eva. mpg.de/), which provides an online tool to visualize great ape distribution in combination with other contextual layers such as protected areas. This information can then be used to 1) minimize the negative impacts that conversion and / or poor management will have on the population; and 2) mitigate any negative publicity and business repercussions that may result from poor environmental practices.

Inherent inaccuracies are common in regional-scale distribution maps (Di Marco et al. 2016), and emphasis must be placed on the importance of providing species distribution across the geographic scales at which they are most relevant. Accurate distribution maps at the concession, landscape, province, or even country level are most likely to be taken up by managers, land use planners and policy makers.

WHAT ARE THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR GREAT APE BMPS?

The minimum environmental management requirements are already defined by RSPO and encompass the following activities:

 Clearly demarcating the areas to be set aside for conservation following an HCV assessment
Efficiently protecting and monitoring the HCV areas (Text Box 11)

 Addressing diligently any impending threat to great apes following clear and precise Standard Operation Procedures (SOP) that need to be developed by the company in collaboration with experts;

• Sufficiently informing and engaging communities to gain their support

Monitoring programs could be developed in collaboration with external experts, but need to be implemented by the company itself. Proper mechanisms to ensure that the results of the monitoring activities inform the management practices must be clearly established.

The overall assumption is that great apes will be safe if the habitat is well managed and threats such as hunting are abated. When great apes occur within or close to a plantation, several key elements must be considered:

• Great apes are safe. Great apes must be safe from killing, and a 'no-kill policy' needs to be developed and strictly enforced. Monitoring and enforcement activities also need to be developed within the plantation. The entrance to the estate must be closely guarded and monitored to prevent hunters from gaining access to the area. Oil palm plantations can have high densities of game—including pigs, deer, and other wild meat sources—but uncontrolled hunting of these species also endangers apes. Every act of wildlife killing— rrespective of the target species—must be reported to the estate management. In accordance with

TEXT BOX 13: OIL PALM PRODUCTION AND ORANGUTAN CONSERVATION: A POLARIZED DEBATE

The debate between orangutan conservation and oil palm development is extremely polarized, and it is difficult for both parties to engage in a constructive dialogue (Meijaard & Sheil 2011).

Negative campaigns initiated by some advocacy groups have resulted in the decision by many consumers, retailers, and companies to boycott palm oil or to source palm oil-free products. In turn, some sectors of the palm oil industry have spent significant sums to defend the allegations against them, and some have gone further to develop so-called 'greenwashing' strategies to improve their image. In this heated forum, the public is often misinformed. For example, in a 2014 poll conducted in Australia, 5% of the respondents believed that oil palm was derived from orangutan body parts. Public misinformation has the potential to harm the business, but also great ape conservation. the laws in effect, authorities must be informed and laws must be enforced. Anyone killing an ape must be arrested and prosecuted. When apes are reported to have caused damage to plantations, local authorities should be contacted to consider possible steps to ensure the animal's safety.

■ Field staff awareness is raised. Oil palm plantation workers are often foreign immigrants and are not familiar with local wildlife and local legislation. The use of sign boards, meetings, briefings, posters and any other means further helps enforce the message that apes are fully protected and must not be harmed. Seeking collaboration and support of local organizations that are familiar with environmental education is highly encouraged if the plantation is lacking inhouse skills and expertise.

• Great ape presence is monitored. The thorough and regular monitoring of great ape presence and damages, as well as of the condition of forest patches, needs to be implemented in all oil palm estates within the ape's natural range. All sightings of great apes and other protected wildlife should be reported by oil palm workers to their team leaders and a proper flow of information needs to be established between field operation management and the relevant wildlife authorities.

Great ape translocation / relocation is the last option. Operations in which experts anesthetize a great ape to capture it and then release it elsewhere are not the best option and should be the exception, not the norm. This practice should be used only when all other attempts have failed, and when the safety of the animals or the people is at risk (Text Box 12).

• Conflicts are minimized. Adequate mitigation measures are identified and implemented.

• The landscape is designed to sustain a resident great ape population or to allow for safe passage of transient animals. Proper forest corridors and stepping stones are identified and set aside or re-established if and where needed, and the habitat is enriched through tree planting and informed spatio-temporal plantation management.

Official and standardized requirements specific to great ape management are mostly lacking. However, skilled and experienced staff are best suited to implement BMPs and SOPs and apply them to a local context, and the need for companies to employ and train their own team of ecologists to manage and monitor all HCVs in the plantation is essential. Each team should collaborate with external groups for capacity building and when specific external skills are required. A clear and transparent process needs to be developed for these teams to report on the progress of the company toward a better management of biodiversity elements that fall under their management, and to have their activities audited.

IMAGE 24

Great ape rescues are a frequently used tool to save individual animals stranded in forest patches in plantations, often without prosecuting companies for illegally destroying great ape habitat. They can save a few animals, but allow the industry and government to be less concerned about other wild populations. © International Animal Rescue.



TEXT BOX 14: GREAT APE MONITORING

classified as HCV 1, which is defined as forest areas containing globally, regionally, or nationally significant

Companies should also engage all their staff and workers in reporting and recording all great ape sightings to

Companies and governments often consider translocation of great apes as the ultimate mitigation option. However, translocation comes with a long list of potential complications (Beck et al. 2007). It is a very costly exercise that requires highly trained personnel, and the effectiveness of translocation is still doubtful as great translocated animals is still unknown as is the probability of establishing a new territory after relocation. Finall identifying forests that could be used as potential release sites remains difficult, as few sites offer forest that is fully protected, the land tenure secured, no resident great ape populations, and suitable protection against hunters (Wilson et al. 2014a). In addition, the resources needed for proper post-release monitoring often limit its

In addition, the net impact of great ape rescues and translocations remains unknown. When the rescue of a few individuals gives the impression to government and the industry that sufficient conservation action has been taken, how many more animals are not protected or more likely to be killed?

all other approaches have failed. The best approach is to manage apes at the population level and not at the individual level. Under an ideal scenario which considers a landscape approach and sets a target that 'no great ape needs to be rescued', landowners (industry, local communities, and government) will manage their respective lands to allow safe passage to the animals throughout the entire landscape

HCV ASSESSMENTS AND GREAT APES

High Conservation Values (HCVs) are biological, ecological, social or cultural values that are considered outstandingly significant or critically important at the national, regional, or global level. HCV identification, management, and monitoring are some of the most important steps towards achieving forestry or agricultural commodity certification. In practice, the quality of HCV assessments varies according to the skills and expertise of the assessors, the time spent in the field and the budget allocated to field activities. The HCV Resource Network has developed an HCV Assessor Licensing Scheme (ALS) to improve the quality of these assessments. Since October 2014, all RSPO members with plantations developed after 2005 must conduct HCV assessments by ALS accredited assessors before land conversion and planting.

Great ape habitat is listed as HCV 1 and should be included in any HCV assessment that takes place within the range of the species. However, the species are quite adaptable and therefore are not necessarily a good indicator for other more forestdependent HCVs. In addition, detecting great apes and their distribution is difficult and time consuming, and there is still no agreed methodology to identify and to assess great ape habitat and population status at the HCV level.

TEXT BOX 15: GREAT APE TRANSLOCATIONS: A LAST RESORT

Any HCV assessment conducted within the range of great ape species should use specific and accepted methods for identifying populations, such as nest transects, camera trap surveillance, or aerial surveys (Kühl et al. 2008). The team of assessors should include at least one person highly capable of detecting great ape presence through indicators such as nests, vocalizations, or feeding signs. The final HCV report needs to be peer-reviewed by great ape experts who will be able to check the reliability of the assessment. Monitoring is part of the HCV approach; the

assessment report should clearly explain what the monitoring techniques are that need to be undertaken by the company. For example, transects should be set up in the field during the assessment and a proper reporting system of sightings should be included in the report.

If and when great ape presence is reported in the HCV assessment, the company should collaborate with local NGOs or groups with great ape expertise to develop the final ape monitoring strategy and SOP, and to train their own HCV team in ape monitoring techniques.



DEVELOPMENT OF GREAT APE GUIDELINES ON LESSONS LEARNED FROM RSPO



SETTING ASIDE PRIORITY AREAS FOR **GREAT APE HABITAT**

Several land management strategies can be proposed to reduce the environmental impacts of the palm oil industry (Yaap et al. 2009). However, avoiding forested areas to develop oil palm plantations remains the best way to minimize the industry's footprint on biodiversity, and avoiding forests that are home to viable populations of great apes in Asia and Africa will achieve the best result in terms of ape conservation.

At the macro-scale level, plantations should be developed on suitable degraded lands that are devoid of trees, and all areas with a significant conservation value should be set aside and not converted (Smit et al. 2013). To be successful, this land-sparing strategy needs precise spatial information and maps showing the distribution and status of forests, degraded lands, great apes, and other species of interest. An analysis of the availability of low-value land in Sumatra indicates that there is sufficient area available to accommodate considerable expansion of oil palm plantations (Wich et al. 2011). However, suitable areas often have land tenure issues that need to be resolved, introducing an unattractive level of complexity for companies wishing to use these areas for oil palm plantation expansion (Wicke et al. 2011).

Satellite imagery and remote sensing technology can produce

PART FOUR



layers of information and can efficiently support the land use decision-making process. Precise maps of great ape distribution are generally lacking, but for some species such as the Sumatran orangutan their distribution is guite well known (Wich et al. 2016). A conservation priority for the different species is therefore to map their precise distribution, and to disseminate this information widely among oil palm producers and land use decision makers. 'No-go' areas and the rationale for their status should be clearly identified on these maps.

It seems unrealistic to propose that all forested areas that are home to viable great ape populations and other fully protected species should be kept aside from development plans for conservation reasons. However, all areas acknowledged as 'priority populations' should be strictly avoided, while more wildlife-friendly ways are identified and implemented in those areas that are assigned for development projects. To some extent, agroforestry practices would support a more wildlife-friendly way to produce oil palm, and could result in a mosaic landscape that suits smallholders and members of local communities who can still harvest non-timber forest products such as honey, fish, and plants used for traditional medicine. However, the way the agro-industry develops oil palm over huge tracts of land is not compatible with agroforestry practices.

Many experts see a mixed combination between land sparing and land sharing as a way to develop a mosaic landscape that would serve the needs of local people, companies, and conservation (Koh et al. 2009; Law et al. 2015). In such landscapes, viable great ape populations would be maintained in large, strictly-protected 'no-go' areas, which could be identified through a combination of

assessments of HCV and also High Carbon Stocks. These protected forests would be connected with commercial forests exploited for timber and buffered with industrial tree plantations and agro-industrial plantations (Meijaard et al. 2012). This would then border the high-intensity use areas where most people and infrastructure are concentrated.

Ecological networks would be developed throughout these landscapes to allow connectivity and to retain some functional ecosystem services such as the prevention of erosion and the regulation of hydrology. Responsible oil palm companies could play a role in the management of such landscapes by strategically locating their plantations at the forest frontier, but assisting in the protective management of forest habitats and great ape populations around their plantations (Image 25).

To achieve this objective, landscape transformations need to be envisioned over large areas, preferably at the scale of a state or a nation. This jurisdictional approach is currently being pursued by Liberia in Africa, and envisaged to be followed in Sabah, Central Kalimantan and South Sumatra in Asia. However, ecologically connected networks are far from the standard forest management policies currently implemented in Borneo and Sumatra. To affect this shift, many questions need further exploration, such as:

What would be the optimal size and shape of set-aside to maintain the viability of great ape and other target species (Text Box 18)?

What are the different types of land tenure that will benefit all stakeholders sharing the

same area, local communities in particular? What is the socio-economic value of various configurations of oil palm landscapes? What could different biodiversity offsets constitute in an oil palm context?

Achieving sustainable landscapes and viable ape populations must encompass the needs and aspirations of people who are sharing and utilizing the same landscape. This requires the collaboration and involvement of a wide range of stakeholders: local communities who are living within these landscapes; industries that are leading the intense transformation of the landscape; policy makers and governments that provide the legislative framework for land use changes; consumers that will influence the market by their day-to-day choices; and civil society at large.

TEXT BOX 16: COLLABORATION TO REDUCE GREAT APE KILLING

1) The Wildlife Conservation Agreement that was signed between the Malua Biodiversity Bank Project, the Sabah Forestry Department and nearby plantations details the various activities that are undertaken to implement a wildlife monitoring plan developed and agreed upon by all signatories. The result has been a significant reduction of poaching events in the area.

2) A tripartite agreement was signed between Wilmar, the Borneo Orangutan Survival Foundation (BOSF) and the Governor of Central Kalimantan to develop Best Management Practices for orangutan conservation in oil palm plantations. One of the goals of this on-going partnership is to develop these management practices into a formal policy for the palm oil industry in Central Kalimantan.

IMAGE 25

Fictional example of how responsible oil palm companies could play a role stabilizing the forest frontier and reducing other wildlife threats by providing a buffer of well-managed zones adjacent to and overlapping with areas of high conservation importance. Orange areas are great ape populations, red areas are fictionally positioned oil palm plantations, and green areas are co-managed corridors and great ape habitats. Obviously, actual spatial planning would be informed by existing land use plans, current land use, socio-economic importance of local land uses, and others. Local communities should be seen as beneficiaries as well, and be pro-actively engaged and involved in the management of the corridors and other forest areas.



significantly more than the biodiversity found in an oil palm plantation. Natural regeneration can take place i these patches

the species they could support

Nevertheless, even the smallest and most degraded forest patches can still retain value allowing for an

PROMOTING STRONGER AND MORE EFFECTIVE REGULATIONS AND POLICIES FOR GREAT APE CONSERVATION IN OIL PALM DEVELOPMENT

Most range States need stronger regulations and enforcement to enhance the chances of ape survival within and around oil palm plantations. Indeed, many governments lack strong land policies that would allow for private land-owners to set aside HCV and land for conservation, and national laws need to be revisited and amended to optimize land use allocation, and to allow for innovative types of land uses that will integrate conservation needs. Land use decisions should be transparent and based on the latest available scientific information. Basic moral principles should guide land use allocation and money-lending processes at the local, regional, national, and international levels (Kormos et al. 2014). Land use and development plans need to be adjusted to accommodate for biodiversity conservation and economic development (Runting et al. 2015).

The palm oil industry has the potential to control most of its biodiversity footprint, primarily by selecting areas for development that do not harbor

TEXT BOX 17: HOW SMALL IS TOO SMALL?

any significant great ape populations or which are not already forested. Establishing strong company regulations that guide production practices with regard to environmental processes would help minimize these negative impacts on biodiversity. The development and implementation of BMPs and SOPs to monitor and manage great apes and other HCV species need to be internalized at the estate and company level. Creating partnerships with outside organizations that possess some of the skills that are lacking within the companies would help secure the future of many animals whose survival is threatened by agricultural practices (Text Box 19). However, the industry needs to be supported by a legal framework, which is eventually decided by the governments of countries where the companies are operating.

Conserving HCVs and set-aside forests is the responsibility of the oil palm estates, and 'corporate social responsibilities' may help companies achieve these objectives. One of the current challenges of certification is that it operates mostly within the boundaries of a concession. Averting biodiversity losses, however, needs to consider the wider landscape and ecological processes that stretch beyond the boundaries of the estate.

Many believe that civil society at large is the ultimate enabler and driver for positive changes. Activism plays a big role to shape palm-oil industry practices as well as some policies in several consumer countries. Consumers can shape the international market and have already shown that the palm oil industry needs to consider the demands of their buyers to be more sustainable.

TEXT BOX 18: INDONESIA AND THE OIL PALM INDUSTRY DISAGREE ON THE 'NO-DEFORESTATION PLEDGE'

In October 2015, the Indonesian government asked major palm oil companies to renounce the historic 'no deforestation' pledges they made one year earlier at the United Nations climate change summit in New York. Several large palm oil firms had signed the Indonesian Palm Oil Pledge (IPOP) to go beyond requirements from common certification schemes (RSPO, MSPO, ISPO) and avoid all deforestation resulting from oil palm development. The Indonesian government justified its request by pointing out that it unfairly affected smallholder producers, who did not have the financial or technical means to avoid deforestation in their oilpalm development.

The pressure from the national government came after local governments in Indonesia began taking away parts of oil palm concessions that companies had tried to convert into conservation forests, which is an RSPO certification requirement if such forests are considered of high social or environmental value. An example is Golden Agri Resources, one of the IPOP companies, which tried to set aside an area designated for plantations in Indonesian Borneo into a conservation forest. Following this, the local government threatened to revoke the concession.

This development indicates growing tension between government objectives and market demands. Companies that are trying to improve their environmental performance are caught in the middle. Wilmar, the world's largest palm oil trader, unveiled an online platform this year which provides transparency and 'traceability' into its supply chain, including the names and locations of refineries and palm oil mills. Wilmar now needs to reassess how it can align its international commitments with demands from the Indonesian government.



RECOMMENDATIONS

GOVERNMENT

Governments need to coordinate and communicate effectively at an inter-ministerial level and strongly engage with scientists to identify areas where development will have the least impact on the environment and rural society, while maintaining a balance with their economic interests. The landscape should be considered at the scale of the nation to decide about various land uses and management practices.

Governments should work with industry, scientific, NGO, and civil society stakeholders to identify areas that cannot be converted to oil palm plantations because the environmental, social, and economic costs outweigh the benefits (net-positive benefits). This requires an understanding of potential net revenues of agriculture and the economic, environmental, and social value of ecosystems prior to their development, including flood-buffering functions, control of soil erosion, climatic regulation, supply of fish, bushmeat and other non-timber forest products. Any area recognized as harboring 'priority' populations of apes cannot be developed. Undertaking informed spatial planning is complex, but tools are now available to conduct proper analyses and to inform land use decision-making.

PART FIVE

KEY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR



All concession boundaries should be made available to the public and any interested party in a clear and transparent manner.

Governments need to revise policies and laws that are preventing landowners from retaining areas in their plantations under natural forest cover.

Governments should adopt the so-called 'jurisdictional approach' and consider the largest possible landscape when designing their future land use development plans; depending on the legal system in place, the scale of the landscape should be the province, the state or the nation.

KEY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE OIL PALM INDUSTRY

Oil palm companies need to seek certification before investing in Africa and need to ensure that their subsidiaries established in the continent are also certified or are in the process of being certified in a defined time frame.

In areas where great apes occur, but are not identified as priority populations, oil palm plantation owners and managers should develop plans to maintain critical forest areas and maintain ecological connections between them. HCV and HCS tools provide clear guidance as to how to do this. The minimum size of natural forest to be retained should be at least 20% of the size of the plantation. This requires collaboration with great ape specialists for drafting biodiversity management plans. Priority should be given for these forests to connect together other forests bordering the plantations to decrease habitat and population fragmentation.

Oil palm plantation owners and managers that have great apes on their land should employ technically competent environmental management teams on a full-time basis, with the skills and mandate to protect biodiversity.

Oil palm plantation owners and managers that have great apes on their land should enforce a nokill / no-harm policy and establish clear standard operational procedures (SOP) to ensure that workers or community members in the plantations do not harm great apes.

KEY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CONSERVATION ORGANIZATIONS

Conservation organizations should collaborate and identify risks of oil palm development in great ape habitats and other HCV and HCS areas. Current and accurate great ape distribution range maps should be overlaid with existing and planned oil palm developments.

Conservation organizations should collaborate with governments, industry and other partners to build a consensus about 'no-go' areas for development based on the presence of priority great ape populations and viable great ape populations, the presence of other high-risk factors such as floodplains and coastal peat swamps, and the importance of areas for food security.

Concession holders should be identified on the

basis of 1) co-occurrence of oil palm development and great ape presence and 2) the sustainability commitments made by individual companies, such RSPO certification or membership.

Concession holders with existing or planned operations should be engaged and informed as to best-management practices.

'No-go' areas for oil palm should be identified on the basis of great ape presence, or subsequent development should be monitored to ensure that 'nogo' areas remain off-limits.

NGOs and consultant groups with appropriate expertise should collaborate with plantations to provide training for increasing in-house capacities to monitor and manage HCVs and other natural resources.

KEY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RESEARCHERS

Develop accurate and detailed maps of great ape species ranges, focusing on geographies that are most relevant for policy or management – such as the landscape or province level—of planned and existing plantations, and of lands potentially available for plantation development, in order to drive the land use decision-making process.

Collaborate on determining the social, environmental and economic costs of oil palm in different land use and land cover types so that informed decisions can be made as to the net benefit or relevant costs of oil palm development.

KEY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Compliance with environmental standard such as RSPO for the oil palm industry, FSC for the timber industry, IFC for the mining industry—should become compulsory for any company to be listed on the international stock exchange. Strong environmental standards should be added to the existing financial and social standards that are already a prerequisite to listing.



GLOSSARY

Agroforestry: practices that integrate trees and other perennial plants into a mosaic farming system.

Anthropogenic: relating to or resulting from the influence of human beings on nature.

Carrying capacity: the maximum, equilibrium number of organisms of a particular species that can be supported indefinitely in a given environment.

Genetic drift: random fluctuations in a gene pool over time. The smaller the animal population the more susceptible it is to adverse impacts of genetic drift.

Greenways: networks of land containing linear elements that are planned, designed and managed for multiple purposes including ecological, recreational, cultural, aesthetic, and other purposes compatible with the concept of sustainable land use.

HCS: High Carbon Stock.

High Conservation Value: biological, ecological, social or cultural values which are considered outstandingly significant or critically important at the national, regional, or global level.

Meta-population: (or metapopulation): a group of populations that are separated by space, but consisting of the same species. These spatially separated populations interact, as individual members move from one population to another.

NTFP: Non Timber Forest Products

Set-asides: the policy of taking land out of production for biodiversity conservation purposes.

Stepping stones: series of small patches of forest that will allow for animal dispersal in a mosaic landscape

ACRONYMS

ASEAN: Association of Southeast Asian Nations **BMPs:** Best Management Practices CPO: Crude Palm Oil **CSPO:** Certified Sustainable Palm Oil **ES:** Ecosystem Services **EIA:** Environmental Impact Assessment **ESIA:** Environmental and Social Impact Assessment FPIC: Free and Prior Informed Consent **GHG:** Greenhouse Gas **GRASP:** Great Apes Survival Partnership HCS: High Carbon Stock **HCV:** High Conservation Value ISPO: Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil **IUCN:** International Union for the Conservation of Nature **MSPO:** Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil NTFP: Non Timber Forest Products P&Cs: Principles & Criteria PKO: Palm Kernel Oil **RSPO:** Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil **SOPs:** Standard Operation Procedures **UNDP:** United Nations Development Programme **UNEP:** United Nations Environment Programme **UNESCO:** United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

- Abernethy, K. A., L. Coad, G. Taylor, M. E. Lee, and F. Maisels. 2013. Extent and ecological consequences of hunting in Central African rainforests in the twenty-first century. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 368.
- Abood, S. A., J. S. H. Lee, Z. Burivalova, J. Garcia-Ulloa, and L. P. Koh. 2015. Relative Contributions of the Logging, Fiber, Oil Palm, and Mining Industries to Forest Loss in Indonesia. Conservation Letters 8:58-67.
- Abram, N. K., E. Meijaard, M. Ancrenaz, D. Gaveau, A.-S. Pellier, R. K. Runting, J. A. Wells, S. A. Wich, and K. Mengersen. 2015. Identifying conflict areas, killing 'hot spots' and population extinctions for the Bornean orangutan. Applied Geography 21:487-499.
- Abram, N. K., E. Meijaard, M. Ancrenaz, R. K. Runting, J. A. Wells, D. L. A. Gaveau, A.-S. Pellier, and K. Mengersen. 2014a. Spatially explicit perceptions of ecosystem services and land cover change in forested regions of Borneo. Ecosystem Services 7:116–127.
- Abram, N. K., E. Meijaard, K. A. Wilson, J. T. Davis, J. A. Wells, M. Ancrenaz, S. Budiharta, A. Durrant, A. Fakhruzzi, R. K. Runting, D. Gaveau, and K. Mengersen. 2017. Oil palm–community conflict mapping in Indonesia: A case for better community liaison in planning for development initiatives. Applied Geography 78:33-44.
- Abram, N. K., P. Xofis, J. Tzanopoulos, D. C. MacMillan, M. Ancrenaz, R. Chung, L. Peter, R. Ong, I. Lackman, B. Goossens, L. Ambu, and A. T. Knight. 2014b. Synergies for Improving Oil Palm Production and Forest Conservation in Floodplain Landscapes. PLoS ONE 9:e95388.
- Achobang, C. F., Afrizal, L. Alaza, N. Ale, P. Anderson, A. S. Mancayo, A. Brownell, F. C. Chalifah, S. Chao, W. M. Chuo, I. Cinditiara, M. Colchester, A. Y. Firdaus, N. Firmansyah, E. Freudenthal, F. Hasibuan, Hermawansyah, T. Jalong, N. Jiwan, J. Kenrick, A. Kiki, E. Kleden, T. Kusumohartono, T. Lomax, A. Karlo Lumban Raja, T. Maneerat, J.-M. Muanda, A. Neame, S. Nguiffo, K. Rattanakrajangsri, Y. L. F. Samperante, B. Schwartz, E. Subahani, M. Venant, S. Vig, P. Villarante, I. Wardhana, A. Priyani Widjaya, and Zulkifli. 2013. Conflict or Consent? The oil palm sector at a crossroads in M. Colchester, and S. Chao, editors.
- Aharikundira, M., and M. Tweheyo. 2011. Human-Wildlife Conflict and Its Implication for Conservation around Bwindi Impenetrable National Park USDA Forest Service Proceedings:39-44.
- Ancrenaz, M., L. Ambu, I. Sunjoto, E. Ahmad, K. Manokaran, E. Meijaard, and I. Lackman. 2010. Recent Surveys in the Forests of Ulu Segama Malua, Sabah, Malaysia, Show That Orang-utans (P. p. morio) Can Be Maintained in Slightly Logged Forests. Plos One 5.
- Ancrenaz, M., S. M. Cheyne, T. Humle, and M. R. Robbins. 2015a. Impacts of industrial agriculture on ape ecology. Pages 164-192 in H. Rainer, A. White, and A. Lanjouw, editors. State of the Apes: Industrial Agriculture and Ape Conservation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Ancrenaz, M., L. Dabek, and S. O'Neil. 2007. The costs of exclusion: Recognizing a role for local communities in biodiversity conservation. Plos Biology 5:2443-2448.
- Ancrenaz, M., B. Goossens, O. Gimenez, A. Sawang, and I. Lackman-Ancrenaz. 2004. Determination of ape distribution and population size using ground and aerial surveys: A case study with orang-utans in lower Kinabatangan, Sabah, Malaysia. Animal Conservation 7:375-385.
- Ancrenaz, M., F. Oram, L. Ambu, I. Lackman, E. Ahmad, H. Elahan, and E. Meijaard. 2015b. Of pongo, palms, and perceptions – A multidisciplinary assessment of orangutans in an oil palm context. Oryx 49:465–472.
- Ancrenaz, M., R. Sollmann, E. Meijaard, A. J. Hearn, J. Ross, H. Samejima, B. Loken, S. Cheyne, D. J. Stark, P. C. Gardner, B. Goossens, A. Mohamed, T. Bohm, I. Matsuda, M. Nakabayasi, S. K. Lee, H. Bernard, J. Brodie, S. A. Wich, G. Fredriksson, G. Hanya, M. Harrisson, T. Kanamori, P. Kretzschmar, D. W. Macdonald, P. Riger, S. Spehar, L. Ambu, and A. Wilting. 2014. Coming down the trees: Is terrestrial activity in orangutans natural or disturbance-driven? Scientific Reports 4:doi:10.1038/srep04024.
- Anseeuw, W., L. Alden Wily, L. Cotula, and M. Taylor. 2012. Land Rights and the Rush for Land: Findings of the Global Commercial Pressures on Land Research Project. ILC, Rome.

Arcus Foundation. 2013. Great Apes Conservation. Arcus Foundation, Cambridge, UK. Arcus Foundation. 2015. State of the Apes 2015. Industrial Agriculture and Ape Conservation. Cambridge University Press and Arcus Foundation, Cambridge, UK.

- Balvanera, P., and L. Lopez-Hoffman. 2012. Mapping the links between ecosystems and society. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 27:477-478.
- Baral, H., R. J. Keenan, J. C. Fox, N. E. Stork, and S. Kasel. 2013. Spatial assessment of ecosystem goods and services in complex production landscapes: A case study from south-eastern Australia. Ecological Complexity 13:35-45.
- Barron, P., K. Kaiser, and M. Pradhan. 2004. Local conflict in Indonesia. Measuring Incidence and Identifying Patterns. World Bank Policy Research Paper 3384:1-49.
- Indonesia. Business and Politics 12:1-34.
- Beck, B. B., K. Walkup, M. Rodriques, S. Unwin, D. Travis, and T. Stoinski 2007. Best practices guidelines for the re-introduction of great apes. SSC Primate Specialist Group of the World Conservation Union, Gland, Switzerland.
- Belenki, M., and M. Wolosin. 2015. From habitat to plantation: Causes of conversion in sub-Saharan Africa. Pages 70-103 in H. W. Rainer, A., and A. Lanjouw, editors. State of the Apes: Industrial Agriculture and Ape Conservation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Bergl, R. A., Y. Warren, A. Nicholas, A. Dunn, I. Imong, J. L. Sunderland-Groves, and J. F. Oates. 2012. Remote sensing analysis reveals habitat, dispersal corridors and expanded distribution for the Critically Endangered Cross River gorilla Gorilla gorilla diehli. Oryx 46:278-289.
- Bermejo, M., J. D. Rodriguez-Teijeiro, G. Illera, A. Barroso, C. Vila, and P. D. Walsh. 2006. Ebola outbreak killed 5000 gorillas. Science 314:1564.
- Blunt, P., M. Turner, and H. Lindroth. 2012. Patronage progress in post-Soeharto Indonesia. Public Administration and Development 32:64-81.
- BPS. 2015. Distribusi Persentase Produk Domestik Bruto Triwulanan Atas Dasar Harga Berlaku Menurut Lapangan Usaha, 2000-2014 (Persen). http://www.bps.go.id/linkTabelStatis/view/id/1207. Badan Pusat Statistik
- Brack, M. 1987. Agents transmissible from simians to man. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Tacugama Chimpanzee Sanctuary, Freetown, Sierra Leone.
- Brockhaus, M., K. Obidzinski, A. Dermawan, Y. Laumonier, and C. Luttrell. 2012. An overview of forest and land allocation policies in Indonesia: Is the current framework sufficient to meet the needs of REDD+? Forest Policy and Economics 18:30-37.
- 2010. Projecting genetic diversity and population viability for the fragmented orang-utan popula-
- BusinessDay. 2013. Nigerian Oil Palm Industry 2013. BusinessDay Online, 14th August 2013.
- Butt, S. 2011. Anti-corruption reform in indonesia: an obituary? Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies 47:381-394.
- Bwenda, C., S. Counsell, A. Ernsting, J. Gerber, D. Mwayafu, B. Ndameu, and L. E. O. Okrah. 2008. Oil palm and rubber plantations in Western and Central Africa : An Overview. Page 14. World Rainforest Movement.
- Caillaud, D., F. Levréro, R. Cristescu, S. Gatti, M. Dewas, M. Douadi, A. Gautier-Hion, M. Raymond, and N. Ménard. 2006. Gorilla susceptibility to Ebola virus: The cost of sociality. Current Biology 16:R489-

Bartley, T. 2010. Transnational private regulation in practice: the limits of forest and labor standards in

Brncic, T. M., B. Amarasekaran, and A. McKenna. 2010. Sierra Leone National Chimpanzee Census.

Bruford, M. W., M. Ancrenaz, L. Chikhi, I. Lackman-Ancrenaz, M. Andau, L. Ambu, and B. Goossens. tion in the Kinabatangan floodplain, Sabah, Malaysia. Endangered Species Research 12:249-261.

R491.

- Campbell-Smith, G., M. Campbell-Smith, I. Singleton, and M. Linkie. 2011b. Apes in space: Saving an imperilled orangutan population in Sumatra. PloS ONE 6:e17210.
- Campbell-Smith, G., M. Campbell-Smith, I. Singleton, and M. Linkie. 2011a. Raiders of the Lost Bark: Orangutan Foraging Strategies in a Degraded Landscape. PloSONE 6 e20962.
- Cao, H.-X., C.-X. Sun, H.-B. Shao, and X.-T. Lei. 2011. Effects Of Low Temperature And Drought On The Physiological And Growth Changes In Oil Palm Seedlings. African Journal Of Biotechnology 10:2630-2637.
- Carrasco, L. R., C. Larrosa, E. J. Milner-Gulland, and D. P. Edwards. 2014. A double-edged sword for tropical forests. Science 346:38-40.
- Carrere, R. 2013. Oil palm in Africa: Past, present and future scenarios. Page 76. World Rainforest Movement.
- CBD. 2010. The strategic plan for biodiversity 2011–2020 and the aichi biodiversity targets. Decision x/2. 18 to 29 october 2010. Nagoya, japan: .
- Chong, K. Y. 2012. How palm oil mill effluents kill a river. Daily Express, Kota Kinabalu, 5th August.
- Chung, A. Y. C., M. Ajik, R. Nilus, and R. Ong. 2007. Forest pest occurrences: some recent evidences in Sabah. Proceedings FRIM Conference on Forestry and Forest Product Research, Kuala Lumpur, 27-29 Nov.
- Cotula, L., G. Jokubauskaite, and P. Sutz. 2015. Legal frameworks at the interface between industrial agriculture and ape conservation. Pages 104-133 in H. Rainer, A. White, and A. Lanjouw, editors. State of the Apes: Industrial Agriculture and Ape Conservation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Danyo, G. 2013. Commercial Oil Palm Cultivation in Ghana: An Outline. Projournal of Agricultural Science Research 1:22-42.
- Davis, J. T., K. Mengersen, N. Abram, M. Ancrenaz, J. Wells, and E. Meijaard. 2013. It's not just conflict that motivates killing of orangutans. PLoS ONE 8: e75373.
- Dayang Norwana, A. A. B., R. Kanjappan, M. Chin, G. C. Schoneveld, L. Potter, and R. Andriani. 2011. The local impacts of oil palm expansion in Malaysia; An assessment based on a case study in Sabah State. CIFOR Working Paper Vol. 78. Pages 1-17.
- de Groot, R., L. Brander, S. van der Ploeg, R. Costanza, F. Bernard, L. Braat, M. Christie, N. Crossman, A. Ghermandi, L. Hein, S. Hussain, P. Kumar, A. McVittie, R. Portela, L. C. Rodriguez, P. ten Brink, and P. van Beukering. 2012. Global estimates of the value of ecosystems and their services in monetary units. Ecosystem Services 1:50-61.
- Deltares. 2015a. Assessment of impacts of plantation drainage on the Kampar Peninsula peatland, Riau. Report 1207384. Deltares and Wetlands International.
- Deltares. 2015b. Flooding projections from elevation and subsidence models for oil palm plantations in the Rajang Delta peatlands, Sarawak, Malaysia. Report 1207384. Deltares and Wetlands International.
- Dennis, R., A. Grant, Y. Hadiprakarsa, P. Hartman, D. J. Kitchener, T. Lamrock, F. MacDonald, E. Meijaard, and D. Prasetyo. 2010. Best Practices for Orangutan Conservation - Oil Palm Concessions. Orangutan Conservation Services Program, Jakarta, Indonesia.
- Di Marco, M., J. E. M. Watson, H. P. Possingham, and O. Venter. 2016. Limitations and trade-offs in the use of species distribution maps for protected area planning. Journal of Applied Ecology:doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.12771.

Dunbar, R. I. M., and S. Shultz. 2007. Understanding primate brain evolution. Philosophical Transac-

tions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 362:649-658. Edwards, F. A., D. P. Edwards, S. Sloan, and K. C. Hamer. 2014. Sustainable Management in Crop Monocultures: The Impact of Retaining Forest on Oil Palm Yield. PLoS ONE 9:e91695.

- EIA. 1998. The politics of extinction. The orangutan crisis. The destruction of Indonesia's forests. Page 53. Environmental Investigation Agency, London, U.K.
- Estes, J. G., N. Othman, S. Ismail, M. Ancrenaz, B. Goossens, L. N. Ambu, A. B. Estes, and P. A. Palmiotto. 2012. Quantity and Configuration of Available Elephant Habitat and Related Conservation Concerns in the Lower Kinabatangan Floodplain of Sabah, Malaysia. PLoS ONE 7:e44601.
- and synthesis. Mammal Review 39:231-264.
- Fa, J. E., C. A. Peres, and J. Meeuwig. 2002. Bushmeat exploitation in tropical forests: an intercontinental comparison. Conservation Biology 16:232-237.
- (FAO) Rome, Italy. http://faostat.fao.org. Accessed 08-02-2014.
- FAO. 2015. FAOstat. Food and Agriculture Organisation http://faostat.fao.org/.
- Bungo District, Indonesia. Small-scale Forestry 9:379-396.
- How will oil palm expansion affect biodiversity? Trends in Ecology & Evolution 23:538-545.
- Forman, R. T. T. 2006. Land mosaics: the ecology of landscapes and regions. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Fry, J., and C. Fitton. 2010. The importance of the global oils and fats supply and the role that palm oil plays in meeting the demand for oils and fats worldwide. Journal of the American College of Nutrition 29(3 Suppl):245S-252S.
- Garley, C. B. 2011. The outlook for large scale oil palm expansion in Liberia. Ministry of Agriculture of Liberia. Presentation to Zoological Society, May 2011.
- Gaveau, D. L. A., D. Sheil, M. Husnayaen, A. Salim, M. Ancrenaz, P. Pacheco, and E. Meijaard. 2016. Rapid conversions and avoided deforestation: examining four decades of industrial plantation expansion in Borneo. Scientific Reports 6:32017.
- Gaveau, D. L. A., S. Sloan, E. Molidena, Husnayanem, M. Ancrenaz, R. Nasi, N. Wielaard, and E. Meijaard. 2014. Four decades of forest persistence, loss and logging on Borneo. PLOS ONE 9:e101654.
- Gaveau, D. L. A., S. Wich, J. Epting, D. Juhn, M. Kanninen, and N. Leader-Williams. 2009. The future of forests and orangutans (Pongo abelii) in Sumatra: predicting impacts of oil palm plantations, road construction, and mechanisms for reducing carbon emissions from deforestation. Environmental Research Letters 4:34013.
- Gibson, L., T. M. Lee, L. P. Koh, B. W. Brook, T. A. Gardner, J. Barlow, C. A. Peres, C. J. A. Bradshaw, W. F. Laurance, T. E. Lovejoy, and N. S. Sodhi. 2011. Primary forests are irreplaceable for sustaining tropical biodiversity. Nature:doi:10.1038/nature10425.
- Gilbert, N. 2012. Palm-oil boom raises conservation concerns. Industry urged towards sustainable farming practices as rising demand drives deforestation. Nature News 12 July 2012.
- opulations based on attributes of forest fragmentation. Conservation Biology 20:441-448.
- Signature of Anthropogenic Population Collapse in Orang-utans. PLoS Biology 4:e25.
- Gore, M. L., and J. S. Kahler. 2012. Gendered Risk Perceptions Associated with Human-Wildlife Conflict:

Fa, J. E., and D. Brown. 2009. Impacts of hunting on mammals in African tropical moist forests: a review

FAO. 2012. FAOSTAT online statistical service. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

Feintrenie, L., W. K. Chong, and P. Levang. 2010. Why do Farmers Prefer Oil Palm? Lessons Learnt from

Fitzherbert, E. B., M. J. Struebig, A. Morel, F. Danielsen, C. A. Brulh, P. F. Donald, and B. Phalan. 2008.

Gillespie, T. R., and C. A. Chapman. 2006. Prediction of parasite infection dynamics in primate metap-

Goossens, B., L. Chikhi, M. Ancrenaz, I. Lackman-Ancrenaz, P. Andau, and M. W. Bruford. 2006. Genetic

Implications for Participatory Conservation. Plos One 7.

- Greengrass, E. 2015. Commercial hunting to supply urban markets threatens mammalian biodiversity in Sapo National Park, Liberia. Oryx 50: 397-404.
- Greengrass, E. J. 2009. Chimpanzees are Close to Extinction in Southwest Nigeria. Primate Conservation 24:77-83.
- Greenpeace. 2016. Greenpeace Comment on Wilmar's 'No Deforestation' Progress Report. http:// www.greenpeace.org/seasia/Press-Centre/Greenpeace-Comment-on-Wilmars-No-Deforestation-Progress-Report/.
- Greenpeace International. 2012. Palm oil's new frontier. How industrial expansion threatens Africa's rainforests. Page 27. Greenpeace International.
- Gunderson, L. H., C. R. Allen, and C. S. Holling 2010. Foundation of Ecological Resilience. Island Press, Washington DC, USA.
- Hai, T. C., A. Ng, C. Preduente, C. Pang, and J. T. C. Yee. 2001. Balancing the Need for Sustainable Oil Palm Development and Conservation: The Lower ISP National Seminal 2001: Strategic Directions for the Sustainability of the Oil Palm Industry., Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia.
- Haile, N. S. 1964. Orang-Human co-existence in North Borneo. Sarawak Museum Journal 11:259-262. HCS. 2015. The High Carbon Stock Science Study: Overview report. Pp. 49.
- Hockings, K. J., J. R. Anderson, and T. Matsuzawa. 2006. Road crossing in chimpanzees: A risky business. Current Biology 16:R668-R670.
- Hockings, K. J., J. R. Anderson, and T. Matsuzawa. 2009. Use of wild and cultivated foods by chimpanzees at Bossou, Republic of Guinea: feeding dynamics in a human-influenced environment. American Journal of Primatology 71:636-646.
- Hockings, K. J., and T. Humle 2009. Best Practice Guidelines for the Prevention and Mitigation of Conflict between Humans and Great Apes. IUCN/SSC Primate Specialist Group (PSG), Gland, Switzerland.
- Hoh, C., and J. Ishak-Amin. 2001. Oil Palm Cultivation in the Lower Kinabatangan Floodplain: A Case Study. Forum on Making Land Use Sustainable in the Lower Kinabatangan Floodplain., Kota Kinabalu.
- Hooijer, A., S. Page, J. Jauhiainen, W. A. Lee, X. X. Lu, A. Idris, and G. Anshari. 2012. Subsidence and carbon loss in drained tropical peatlands. Biogeosciences 9:1053-1071.
- Horr, D. A. 1972. The Bornean Orang Utan. Borneo Research Bulletin 4:46-50.
- Hoyle, D., and P. Levang. 2012. Oil Palm Development in Cameroon. WWF & IRD/CIFOR, Yaoundé, Cameroon.
- Humle, T., and T. Matsuzawa. 2004. Oil palm use by adjacent communities of chimpanzees at Bossou and Nimba Mountains, West Africa. International Journal of Primatology 25:551-581.
- IDS. 2007. Sabah Development Corridor, Socio-Economic Blueprint 2008-2025, Harnessing Unity in Diversity for Wealth Creation and Social Wellbeing. Institute for Development Studies, Sabah Kota Kinabalu, Sabah.
- Institute for Development Studies. 2007. Sabah Development Corridor, Socio-Economic Blueprint 2008-2025, Harnessing Unity in Diversity for Wealth Creation and Social Wellbeing. Institute for Development Studies, Sabah. http://www.sedia.com.my Kota Kinabalu, Sabah.
- Jakarta Post. 2009. Indonesia allocates 18 million of hectares of land for palm oil. Jakarta Post, 2, December.
- Junker, J., S. Blake, C. Boesch, G. Campbell, L. d. Toit, C. Duvall, A. Ekobo, G. Etoga, A. Galat-Luong, J. Gamys, J. Ganas-Swaray, S. Gatti, A. Ghiurghi, N. Granier, J. Hart, J. Head, I. Herbinger, T. C. Hicks, B. Huijbregts, I. S. Imong, N. Kuempel, S. Lahm, J. Lindsell, F. Maisels, M. McLennan, L. Martinez, B.

Morgan, D. Morgan, F. Mulindahabi, R. Mundry, K. P. N'Goran, E. Normand, A. Ntongho, D. T. Okon, C.-A. Petre, A. Plumptre, H. Rainey, S. Regnaut, C. Sanz, E. Stokes, A. Tondossama, S. Tranquilli, J. Sunderland-Groves, P. Walsh, Y. Warren, E. A. Williamson, and H. S. Kuehl. 2012. Recent decline in suitable environmental conditions for African great apes. Diversity and Distributions 18:1077-1091. Knight, A. T., R. M. Cowling, M. Rouget, A. Balmford, A. T. Lombard, and B. M. Campbell. 2008. Knowing But Not Doing: Selecting Priority Conservation Areas and the Research-Implementation Gap. Con-

- servation Biology 22:610-617.
- Koh, L. P. 2008. Birds defend oil palms from herbivorous insects. Ecological Applications 18:821-825.
- Ecology & Evolution 24:431-438.
- Koh, L. P., and D. S. Wilcove. 2008. Is oil palm agriculture really destroying tropical biodiversity? Conservation Letters 1:60-64.
- Koh, L. P., and D. S. Wilcove. 2009. Oil palm: disinformation enables deforestation. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 24:67-68.
- Komarova, A., and I. Zhurevleva. 2014. Forest Cover Change Assessment. Case Study: Sud Hevea in Cameroon. GIS Center, Greenpeace Russia.
- Kormos, R., A. Lanjouw, R. Kormos, H. Rainer, and E. Williamson. 2014. Taking ape conservation to The World Bank, Washington, USA.
- Krief, S., M. Cibot, S. Bortolamiol, A. Seguya, J.-M. Krief, and S. Masi. 2014. Wild Chimpanzees on the Edge: Nocturnal Activities in Croplands. PLoS ONE 9:e109925.
- Kuehl, H. S., C. Nzeingui, S. L. D. Yeno, B. Huijbregts, C. Boesch, and P. D. Walsh. 2009. Discriminating between village and commercial hunting of apes. Biological Conservation 142:1500-1506.
- Kühl, H., F. Maisels, M. Ancrenaz, and E. A. Williamson. 2008. Best Practice Guidelines for Surveys and Monitoring of Great Ape Populations. IUCN SSC Primate Specialist Group (PSG), Gland, Switzerland.
- Kupsch, D., B. K. Serge, and M. Waltert. 2014. Biodiversity, carbon stock and market value assessment for the SGSOC project area, Southwest region, Cameroon. World Wide Fund for Nature, Germany and Greenpeace International.
- Kurki, A., A. Hill, and M. Morris. 2014. Biodiesel: The Sustainability Dimensions. Available: https://attra. ncat.org/attra-pub/viewhtml.php?id=312#tickell00. Last accessed 8 December 2014.
- 22:65-70.
- Edwards, B. Phalan, A. Balmford, R. Van Der Ree, and I. B. Arrea. 2014. A global strategy for road building. Nature 513:229-232.
- Laurance, W. F., L. P. Koh, R. Butler, N. S. Sodhi, C. J. A. Bradshaw, J. D. Neidel, H. Consunji, and J. Mateo Vega. 2010. Improving the Performance of the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil for Nature Conservation. Conservation Biology 24:377-381.
- Law, E. A., E. Meijaard, A. B. Bryan, T. Mallawaarachchi, L. P. Koh, and K. A. Wilson. 2015. Better landuse allocation outperforms land sparing and land sharing approaches to conservation in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. Biological Conservation 186:276-286.
- Lee, K.-S., P. C. S. Divis, S. K. Zakaria, A. Matusop, R. A. Julin, D. J. Conway, J. Cox-Singh, and B. Singh. 2011. Plasmodium knowlesi: Reservoir Hosts and Tracking the Emergence in Humans and Macaques. PLoS Pathog 7:e1002015.
- Leendertz, F. H., G. Pauli, K. Maetz-Rensing, W. Boardman, C. Nunn, H. Ellerbrok, S. A. Jensen, S. Junglen,

Koh, L. P., P. Levang, and J. Ghazoul. 2009. Designer landscapes for sustainable biofuels. Trends in

heart: a strategy for mainstreaming ape conservation into World bank policies and actions. Page 97.

Laurance, W. F. 2007. Have we overstated the tropical biodiversity crisis? Trends in Ecology & Evolution

Laurance, W. F., G. R. Clements, S. Sloan, C. S. O/'Connell, N. D. Mueller, M. Goosem, O. Venter, D. P.

and B. Christophe. 2006. Pathogens as drivers of population declines: The importance of systematic monitoring in great apes and other threatened mammals. Biological Conservation 131:325-337.

- Levin, J., G. Ng, D. Fortes, S. Garcia, S. Lacey, and D. Grubba. 2012. Profitability and Sustainability in Palm Oil Production. Analysis of Incremental Financial Costs and Benefits of RSPO Compliance. WWF-US, FMO, CDC.
- Linder, J. M. 2013. African Primate Diversity Threatened by 'New Wave' of Industrial Oil Palm Expansion. African Primates 8:25-38.
- Lindermayer, D. B., and J. Fischer 2006. Habitat fragmentation and landscape change: An ecological and conservation synthesis. Island Press, USA.
- Lucey, J. M., N. Tawatao, M. J. M. Senior, V. K. Chey, S. Benedick, K. C. Hamer, P. Woodcock, R. J. Newton, S. H. Bottrell, and J. K. Hill. 2014. Tropical forest fragments contribute to species richness in adjacent oil palm plantations. Biological Conservation 169:268-276.
- Luskin, M. S., and M. D. Potts. 2011. Microclimate and habitat heterogeneity through the oil palm lifecycle. Basic and Applied Ecology 12:540-551.
- MacKinnon, J. K. 1972. The behaviour and ecology of the orang-utan (Pongo pygmaeus) with relation to the other apes University of Oxford, Oxford, UK.
- MacKinnon, J. K. 1974. In search of the red ape. Collins, London.
- Maddox, T., D. Priatna, E. Gemita, and A. Salampessy. 2007. The conservation of tigers and other wildlife in oil palm plantations Jambi Province, Sumatra, Indonesia. ZSL Conservation Report No.7. The Zoological Society of London, London, UK.
- Maddox, T. M. 2007. Oil palm and mammal conservation. Paper to the International Conference on Oil Palm and Environment. 2007, Bali, Indonesia.
- Marchal, V., and C. Hill. 2009. Primate Crop-raiding: A Study of Local Perceptions in Four Villages in North Sumatra, Indonesia. Primate Conservation 24:107-116.
- Marti, S. 2008. Losing ground: Report on indigenous communities and oil palm development. Life Mosaic, Sawit Watch and Friends of the Earth.
- McShea, W. J., C. Stewart, L. Peterson, P. Erb, R. Stuebing, and B. Giman. 2009. The importance of secondary forest blocks for terrestrial mammals within an Acacia/secondary forest matrix in Sarawak, Malaysia. Biological Conservation 142:3108-3119.
- Meijaard, E., N. K. Abram, J. A. Wells, A.-S. Pellier, M. Ancrenaz, D. L. A. Gaveau, R. K. Runting, and K. Mengersen. 2013. People's perceptions on the importance of forests on Borneo. PLoS ONE 8:e73008.
- Meijaard, E., G. Albar, Y. Rayadin, Nardiyono, M. Ancrenaz, and S. Spehar. 2010. Unexpected ecological resilience in Bornean Orangutans and implications for pulp and paper plantation management. PloSONE 5:e12813.
- Meijaard, E., D. Buchori, Y. Hadiprakoso, S. S. Utami-Atmoko, A. Tjiu, D. Prasetyo, Nardiyono, L. Christie, M. Ancrenaz, F. Abadi, I. N. G. Antoni, D. Armayadi, A. Dinato, Ella, P. Gumelar, T. P. Indrawan, Kussaritano, C. Munajat, A. Nurcahyo, C. W. P. Priyono, Y. Purwanto, D. P. Sari, M. S. W. Putra, A. Rahmat, H. Ramadani, J. Sammy, D. Siswanto, M. Syamsuri, J. Wells, H. Wu, and K. Mengersen. 2011. Quantifying killing of orangutans and human-orangutan conflict in Kalimantan, Indonesia PLoS ONE 6:e27491.
- Meijaard, E., Nardiyono, H. Rahman, S. Husson, K. L. Sanchez, and G. Campbell-Smith. 2016. A case study of oil-palm contributing to biodiversity conservation. International Journal of Natural Resource Ecology and Management 4:179-187.
- Meijaard, E., and D. Sheil. 2011. A modest proposal for wealthy countries to reforest their land for the common good. Biotropica 43:544-548.
- Meijaard, E., D. Sheil, R. Nasi, D. Augeri, B. Rosenbaum, D. Iskandar, T. Setyawati, M. J. Lammertink, I.

Rachmatika, A. Wong, T. Soehartono, S. Stanley, and T. O'Brien 2005. Life after logging: reconciling wildlife conservation and production forestry in Indonesian Borneo. CIFOR, WCS and UNESCO, Bogor, Indonesia.

- Meijaard, E., and S. Wich. 2007. Putting orang-utan population trends into perspective. Current Biology 17:R540.
- Meijaard, E., and S. Wich. 2014. Indonesia: mining and orangutan distribution. Page 155 in H. Rainer, A. White, and A. Lanjouw, editors. State of the Apes: Extractive Industries and Ape Conservation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Meijaard, E., S. Wich, M. Ancrenaz, and A. J. Marshall. 2012. Not by science alone: Why orangutan conservationists must think outside the box. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 1249:29-44.
- Mielke, T. 2013. Palm oil the leader in global oils and fats supply. Paper presented at the Malaysia/Myanmar palm oil trade fair and seminar, Yangoon, Myanmar, 28 June 2013. Available at http://www. mpoc.org.my/upload/Plenary_Paper-Tho mas-Mielke.pdf [accessed 15.01.14].
- Miettinen, J., A. Hooijer, D. Tollenaar, S. Page, C. Malins, R. Vernimmen, C. Shi, and S. C. Liew. 2012. Historical Analysis and Projection of Oil Palm Plantation Expansion on Peatland in Southeast Asia. International Council on Clean Transportation, Washington, DC.
- Miettinen, J., C. Shi, and S. C. Liew. 2011. Deforestation rates in insular Southeast Asia between 2000 and 2010. Global Change Biology 17:2261-2270.
- Millenium Ecosystem Assessment. 2005. Ecosystems and Human Well-Being: Current Status and Washington.
- Moilanen, A. 2007. Landscape Zonation, benefit functions and target-based planning: Unifying reserve selection strategies. Biological Conservation 134:571-579.
- Gland, Switzerland.
- MPOB 2010. Palm Oil Cost of Production Malaysia 2008: A Report of the MPOB Palm Oil Cost of Production Survey 2009. MPOB.
- Plantation Industries and Commodities, Malaysia.
- ism Destinations: The Need for Input from Travel Medicine. Journal of Travel Medicine 16:229-232.
- Muehlenbein, M. P., and R. G. Bribiescas. 2005. Testosterone-mediated immune functions and male life histories. American Journal of Human Biology 17:527-558.
- Nantha, H. S., and C. Tisdell. 2009. The orangutan-oil palm conflict: economic constraints and opportunities for conservation. Biodiversity & Conservation 18:487-502.
- Nellemann, C., I. Redmond, and J. Refisch, editors. 2010. The Last Stand of the Gorilla Environmental Programme, GRID-Arendal. www. grida.no.
- mantan, Indonesia. TRAFFIC Southeast Asia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- oil palm plantations and their implications for biofuel production in Indonesia. Ecology and Society 17:article 25.
- Ofosu-Budu, K., and D. Sarpong. 2013. Oil palm industry growth in Africa: A value chain and smallhold-

Trends: Findings of the Condition and Trends Working Group. Millenium Ecosystem Assessment,

Morgan, D., and C. Sanz. 2007. Best Practice Guidelines for Reducing the Impact of Commercial Logging on Great Apes in Western Equatorial Africa. Page 24. IUCN SSC Primate Specialist Group (PSG),

MPOB. 2012. Malaysian Oil Palm Statistics 2011, 31st Edition. Malaysian Palm Oil Board, Ministry of

Muehlenbein, M. P., and M. Ancrenaz. 2009. Minimizing Pathogen Transmission at Primate Ecotour-

Crime and Conflict in the Congo Basin. A Rapid Response Assessment. United Nations Environment

Nijman, V. 2005. Hanging in the balance. An assessment of the trade in gibbons and orangutans Kali-

Obidzinski, K., R. Andriani, H. Komarudin, and A. Andrianto. 2012. Environmental and social impacts of

ers study for Ghana in A. Elbehri, editor. Rebuilding West Africa's Food Potential. FAO/IFAD. Orangutan Conservancy. 2015. Threats to Orangutans. http://www.orangutan.com/threats-to-orangutans/.

Osei-Amponsah, C., L. Visser, S. Adjei-Nsiah, P. C. Struik, O. Sakyi-Dawson, and T. J. Stomph. 2012. Processing practices of small-scale palm oil producers in the Kwaebibirem District, Ghana: A diagnostic study. NJAS - Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences 60–63:49-56.

OWM. 2005. Oil World Monthly, April 2006.

- Patel, T., A. Dhiaulhaq, D. Gritten, Y. Yasmi, T. De Bruyn, N. S. Paudel, C. Silori, and R. Suzuki. 2013. Predicting future conflct under REDD+ implementation. Forests. Forests 4:343-363.
- Pauli, N., C. Donough, T. Oberthür, J. Cock, R. Verdooren, Rahmadsyah, G. Abdurrohim, K. Indrasuara, A. Lubis, T. Dolong, and J. M. Pasuquin. 2014. Changes in soil quality indicators under oil palm plantations following application of 'best management practices' in a four-year field trial. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 195:98-111.

Permandu. 2010. Economic Transformation Programme: A Roadmap For Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur.

Potter, L. 2015. Managing oil palm landscapes: A seven-country survey of the modern palm oil industry in Southeast Asia, Latin America and West Africa. Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), Bogor, Indonesia.

Poynton, S. 2015. Beyond Certification. The Forest trust, Do Sustainability, Oxford, UK.

Rainer, H., and A. Lanjouw. 2015. Encroaching on ape habitat: deforestation and industrial agriculture in Cameroon, Liberia and on Borneo. Pages 40-69 in H. Rainer, A. White, and A. Lanjouw, editors. State of the Apes: Industrial Agriculture and Ape Conservation. Cambridge University Press, Cambirdge, UK.

Rainforest Rescue. 2015. Palm Oil. https://www.rainforest-rescue.org/topics/palm-oil.

- Rajanaidu, N., A. Kushairi, M. Rafii, A. Mohd Din, I. Maizura, and B. S. Jalani. 2000. Oil palm breeding and genetic resources. Advances in Oil Palm Research 1:171-237.
- Ramdani, F., T. Moffiet, and M. Hino. 2014. Local surface temperature change due to expansion of oil palm plantation in Indonesia. Climatic Change:1-12.
- Reed, P. E., S. Mulangu, K. N. Cameron, A. U. Ondzie, D. Joly, M. Bermejo, P. Rouquet, G. Fabozzi, M. Bailey, Z. Shen, B. F. Keele, B. Hahn, W. B. Karesh, and N. J. Sullivan. 2014. A New Approach for Monitoring Ebolavirus in Wild Great Apes. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 8:e3143.
- Rijksen, H. D., and E. Meijaard 1999. Our vanishing relative. The status of wild orang-utans at the close of the twentieth century. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Rist, L., L. Feintrenie, and P. Levang. 2010a. The livelihood impacts of oil palm: smallholders in Indonesia. Biodiversity & Conservation 19:1009-1024.
- Rist, L., L. Feintrenie, and P. Levang. 2010b. The livelihood impacts of oil palm: smallholders in Indonesia. Biodiversity and Conservation 19:1009-1024.
- RSPO. 2014. RSPO's Certified Sustainable Palm Oil hits record sales for Q1 2014. http://www.rspo.org/ news-and-events/news/rspos-certified-sustainable-palm-oil-hits-record-sales-for-q1-2014.

RSPO. 2015. RSPO Impact Update 2015. Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

- Rudicell, R. S., J. Holland Jones, E. E. Wroblewski, G. H. Learn, Y. Li, J. D. Robertson, E. Greengrass, F. Grossmann, S. Kamenya, L. Pintea, D. C. Mjungu, E. V. Lonsdorf, A. Mosser, C. Lehman, D. A. Collins, B. F. Keele, J. Goodall, B. H. Hahn, A. E. Pusey, and M. L. Wilson. 2010. Impact of Simian Immunodeficiency Virus Infection on Chimpanzee Population Dynamics. PLoS Pathog 6:e1001116.
- Runting, R. K., E. Meijaard, N. K. Abram, J. A. Wells, D. L. A. Gaveau, M. Ancrenaz, H. P. Posssingham, S. A. Wich, F. Ardiansyah, M. T. Gumal, L. N. Ambu, and K. A. Wilson. 2015. Alternative futures for Borneo

show the value of integrating economic and conservation targets across borders. Nat Commun 6. Russon, A. E. 2009. Orangutan rehabilitation and reintroduction. Pages 327-350 in S. Wich, S. U. Atmoko, T. M. Setia, and C. P. van Schaik, editors. Orangutans. Geographic variation in behavioral ecology and conservation. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.

- Ruysschaert, D., and H. Rainer. 2015. From process to impact of a voluntary standard: the Rond-table on Sustainable Palm Oil. Pages 133-163 in H. Rainer, A. White, and A. Lanjouw, editors. State of the
- Apes: Industrial Agriculture and Ape Conservation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. Ryan, S. J., and P. D. Walsh. 2011. Consequences of Non-Intervention for Infectious Disease in African
- Great Apes. PLoS ONE 6:e29030. Sabah Wildlife Department. 2012. Sabah Wildlife Department Orang-utan Action Plan 2012-2016, Kota
- Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia.

Santika, T., M. Ancrenaz, S. Spehar, K. A. Wilson, and E. Meijaard. Unpublished data. Integrating multiple survey data to assess species abundance dynamics: the case of the Bornean orangutan in Sabah. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, series B. Savage, N. 2011. Fuel options: The ideal biofuel. Nature 474:S9-S11.

Say No to Oil Palm. 2015. http://www.saynotopalmoil.com/Whats_the_issue.php. Sayer, J., J. Ghazoul, P. Nelson, and A. Klintuni Boedhihartono. 2012. Oil palm expansion transforms tropical landscapes and livelihoods. Global Food Security 1:114-119.

- Wild Ape Populations. American Journal of Primatology 74:1071-1075.
- Schoneveld-de Lange, N., E. Meijaard, and A. Löhr. 2016. South to south learning in great ape conservation. American Journal of Primatology:n/a-n/a.
- Schoneveld, G. C. 2014. The geographic and sectoral patterns of large-scale farmland investments in sub-Saharan Africa. Food Policy 48:34-50.
- Sheil, D., A. Casson, E. Meijaard, M. van Noordwijk, J. Gaskell, J. Sunderland-Groves, K. Wertz, and M. Kanninen. 2009. The impacts and opportunities of oil palm in Southeast Asia. What do we know and what do we need to know? CIFOR Occasional Paper no. 51.
- tion. Biotropica 42:566-568.

Siddiquee, N. 2010. Combating Corruption and Managing Integrity in Malaysia: A Critical Overview of Recent Strategies and Initiatives. Public Organization Review 10:153-171.

Singh, R., M. Ong-Abdullah, E.-T. L. Low, M. A. A. Manaf, R. Rosli, R. Nookiah, L. C.-L. Ooi, S.-E. Ooi, K.-L. Chan, M. A. Halim, N. Azizi, J. Nagappan, B. Bacher, N. Lakey, S. W. Smith, D. He, M. Hogan, M. A. Budiman, E. K. Lee, R. DeSalle, D. Kudrna, J. L. Goicoechea, R. A. Wing, R. K. Wilson, R. S. Fulton, J. M. Ordway, R. A. Martienssen, and R. Sambanthamurthi. 2013. Oil palm genome sequence reveals

divergence of interfertile species in Old and New worlds. Nature 500:335-339. Smit, H., E. Meijaard, S. Mantel, C. van der Laan, and P. Verweij. 2013. Breaking the link between environmental degradation and oil palm expansion. PLoS ONE 8:e68610.

- Sodhi, N. S., L. P. Koh, R. Clements, T. C. Wanger, J. K. Hill, K. C. Hamer, Y. Clough, T. Tscharntke, M. R. C. Posa, and T. M. Lee. 2010. Conserving Southeast Asian forest biodiversity in human-modified landscapes. Biological Conservation 143:2375-2384.
- Stiles, D., I. Redmond, D. Cress, C. Nellemann, and R. K. Formo, editors. 2013. Stolen Apes The illicit trade in Chimpanzees, Gorillas, Bonobos and Orangutans. A Rapid Response Assessment. United Nations Environment Programme, GRID-Arendal. www.grida.no.

Schaumburg, F., L. Mugisha, B. Peck, K. Becker, T. R. Gillespie, G. Peters, and F. H. Leendertz. 2012. Drug-Resistant Human Staphylococcus aureus in Sanctuary Apes Pose a Threat to Endangered

Sheil, D., and E. Meijaard. 2010. Purity and prejudice: deluding ourselves about biodiversity conserva-

- Struebig, M. J., M. Fischer, D. L. A. Gaveau, E. Meijaard, S. A. Wich, C. Gonner, R. Sykes, A. Wilting, and S. Kramer-Schadt. 2015. Anticipated climate and land-cover changes reveal refuge areas for Borneo's orang-utans. Global Change Biology:n/a-n/a.
- Susila, W. R. 2004. Contribution of oil palm industry to economic growth and poverty alleviation in Indonesia. Jurnal Litbang Pertanian 23:107-114.
- Tan-Soo, J.-S., N. Adnan, I. Ahmad, S. Pattanayak, and J. Vincent. 2014. Econometric Evidence on Forest Ecosystem Services: Deforestation and Flooding in Malaysia. Environmental and Resource Economics:1-20.
- Teaby, A. 2015. Exploitation and Empty Promises. Friends of the Earth Europe.
- Thang, C. H. 1987. Forest management systems for tropical high forest, with special reference to Peninsular Malaysia. Forest Ecology and Management 21:3-20.
- Thompson, M. E., S. M. Kahlenberg, I. C. Gilby, and R. W. Wrangham. 2007. Core area quality is associated with variance in reproductive success among female chimpanzees at Kibale National Park. Animal Behaviour 73:501-512.
- Travis, D. A., E. V. Lonsdorf, T. Mlengeya, and J. Raphael. 2008. A science-based approach to managing disease risks for ape conservation. American Journal of Primatology 70:745-750.
- Turetsky, M. R., B. Benscoter, S. Page, G. Rein, G. R. van der Werf, and A. Watts. 2015. Global vulnerability of peatlands to fire and carbon loss. Nature Geosci 8:11-14.
- Tweh, C. G., M. M. Lormie, C. Y. Kouakou, A. Hillers, H. S. Kühl, and J. Junker. 2015. Conservation status of chimpanzees Pan troglodytes verus and other large mammals in Liberia: a nationwide survey. Oryx 49:710-718.
- USAID. 2006. Forest Conflict in Asia: How Big is the Problem? United States Agency for International Development (USAID), Washington, DC. USDA. 2015. www.indexmundi.com.
- van Andel, A. C., S. A. Wich, C. Boesch, L. P. Koh, M. M. Robbins, J. Kelly, and H. S. Kuehl. 2015. Locating chimpanzee nests and identifying fruiting trees with an unmanned aerial vehicle. American Journal of Primatology 77:1122-1134.
- van Beukering, P. J. H., H. S. J. Cesar, and M. A. Janssen. 2003. Economic valuation of the Leuser National Park on Sumatra, Indonesia. Ecological Economics 44:43-62.
- van der Werf, G. R., D. C. Morton, R. S. DeFries, J. G. J. Olivier, P. S. Kasibhatla, R. B. Jackson, G. J. Collatz, and J. T. Randerson. 2009. CO2 emissions from forest loss. Nature Geoscience 2 737-738.
- Venter, O., E. Meijaard, H. P. Possingham, R. Dennis, D. Sheil, S. Wich, L. Hovani, and K. Wilson. 2009. Carbon payments as a safeguard for threatened tropical mammals. Conservation Letters 2:123-129.
- Vermeulen, S., and N. Goad. 2006. Towards better practice in smallholder palm oil production. Natural Resource Issues Series No. 5. International Institute for Environment and Development. London, UK.
- Wallace, R. G., M. Gilbert, R. Wallace, C. Pittiglio, R. Mattioli, and R. Kock. 2014. Did Ebola emerge in West Africa by a policy-driven phase change in agroecology? Environment and Planning A 46:2533-2542.
- Watts, M. E., I. R. Ball, R. S. Stewart, C. J. Klein, K. Wilson, C. Steinback, R. Lourival, L. Kircher, and H. P. Possingham. 2009. Marxan with Zones: Software for optimal conservation based land- and sea-use zoning. Environmental Modelling & Software 24:1513-1521.
- Webber, A. D., C. M. Hill, and V. Reynolds. 2007. Assessing the failure of a community-based humanwildlife conflict mitigation project in Budongo Forest Reserve, Uganda. Oryx 41:177-184.
- Wells, J., E. Meijaard, N. K. Abram, and S. A. Wich. 2013. Forests, floods, people and wildlife on Borneo. A review of flooding and analysis of local perceptions of flooding frequencies and trends, and the roles

of forests and deforestation in flood regimes, with a view to informing government decision-making on flood monitoring, forest management and biodiversity conservation. UNEP, Bangkok, Thailand. Wells, J. A., K. A. Wilson, N. K. Abram, M. Nunn, D. L. A. Gaveau, R. K. Runting, N. Tarniati, K. L. Mengersen, and E. Meijaard. 2016. Rising floodwaters: mapping impacts and perceptions of flooding in Bor-

- neo. Environmental Research Letters 11:064016.
- Unmanned Vehicle Systems 4:45-52.
- tainable Forest Management in Sumatra. UNEP/GRASP/PanEco/YEL/ICRAF/GRID-Arendal.
- sity. Biological Conservation 146:163-169.
- Palm's Homecoming Spell Doom for Africa's Great Apes? Current Biology 24:1659-1663.
- Species: Is There a Future for the Bornean Orang-utan? PLoS ONE 7:e49142.
- Sumatra: how many remain? Oryx 42:329-339.
- for the Sumatran orangutan (Pongo abelii). Science Advances 2.
- Evolution 47:385-398.
- oil production in Indonesia and Malaysia. Land Use Policy 28:193-206.
- Biodiversity & Conservation 19:999-1007.
- pacts and options for mitigation. Biodiversity & Conservation 8:927-955.
- Forest PLOS ONE 9:e102174.

Wich, S., D. Dellatore, M. Houghton, R. Ardi, and L. P. Koh. 2015. A preliminary assessment of using conservation drones for Sumatran orang-utan (Pongo abelii) distribution and density. Journal of

Wich, S., Riswan, J. Jenson, J. Refisch, and C. Nellemann. 2011. Orangutans and the Economics of Sus-

Wich, S. A., G. M. Fredriksson, G. Usher, H. H. Peters, D. Priatna, F. Basalamah, W. Susanto, and H. Kühl. 2012a. Hunting of Sumatran orang-utans and its importance in determining distribution and den-

Wich, Serge A., J. Garcia-Ulloa, Hjalmar S. Kühl, T. Humle, Janice S. H. Lee, and Lian P. Koh. 2014. Will Oil

Wich, S. A., D. Gaveau, N. Abram, M. Ancrenaz, A. Baccini, S. Brend, L. Curran, R. A. Delgado, A. Erman, G. M. Fredriksson, B. Goossens, S. J. Husson, I. Lackman, A. J. Marshall, A. Naomi, E. Molidena, Nardiyono, A. Nurcahyo, K. Odom, A. Panda, Purnomo, A. Rafiastanto, D. Ratnasari, A. H. Santana, I. Sapari, C. P. van Schaik, J. Sihite, S. Spehar, E. Santoso, A. Suyoko, A. Tiju, G. Usher, S. S. U. Atmoko, E. P. Willems, and E. Meijaard. 2012b. Understanding the Impacts of Land-Use Policies on a Threatened

Wich, S. A., E. Meijaard, A. J. Marshall, S. Husson, M. Ancrenaz, R. C. Lacy, C. P. van Schaik, J. Sugardjito, T. Simorangkir, K. Traylor-Holzer, M. Doughty, J. Supriatna, R. Dennis, M. Gumal, C. D. Knott, and I. Singleton. 2008. Distribution and conservation status of the orang-utan (Pongo spp.) on Borneo and

Wich, S. A., I. Singleton, M. G. Nowak, S. S. Utami Atmoko, G. Nisam, S. M. Arif, R. H. Putra, R. Ardi, G. Fredriksson, G. Usher, D. L. A. Gaveau, and H. S. Kühl. 2016. Land-cover changes predict steep declines

Wich, S. A., S. S. Utami-Atmoko, T. M. Setia, H. D. Rijksen, C. Schürmann, J. A. R. A. M. van Hooff, and C. P. van Schaik. 2004. Life history of wild Sumatran orangutans (Pongo abelii). Journal of Human

Wicke, B., R. Sikkema, V. Dornburg, and A. Faaij. 2011. Exploring land use changes and the role of palm

Wilcove, D. S., and L. P. Koh. 2010. Addressing the threats to biodiversity from oil-palm agriculture.

Wilkie, D. S., and J. F. Carpenter. 1999. Bushmeat hunting in the Congo Basin: an assessment of im-

Wilmar. 2014. Wilmar in Africa. Annual Report 2014. Page 207. Wilmar International Limited, Singapore Wilson, H., E. Meijaard, O. Venter, M. Ancrenaz, and H. P. Possingham. 2014a. Conservation Strategies for Orangutans: Reintroduction versus Habitat Preservation and the Benefits of Sustainably Logged

Wilson, M. L., C. Boesch, B. Fruth, T. Furuichi, I. C. Gilby, C. Hashimoto, C. L. Hobaiter, G. Hohmann, N. Itoh, K. Koops, J. N. Lloyd, T. Matsuzawa, J. C. Mitani, D. C. Mjungu, D. Morgan, M. N. Muller, R. Mundry, M. Nakamura, J. Pruetz, A. E. Pusey, J. Riedel, C. Sanz, A. M. Schel, N. Simmons, M. Waller, D. P. Watts, F. White, R. M. Wittig, K. Zuberbuhler, and R. W. Wrangham. 2014b. Lethal aggression in Pan

is better explained by adaptive strategies than human impacts. Nature 513:414-417.

- Wrangham, R. W. 1975. The Behavioural Ecology of Chimpanzees in Gombe National Park, Tanzania. PhD. Thesis. University of Cambridge, Cambridge.
- WWF. 2015. Forest conversion. http://wwf.panda.org/about_our_earth/deforestation/deforestation_causes/forest_conversion/.
- Yaap, B., M. J. Struebig, G. Paoli, and L. P. Koh. 2009. Mitigating the biodiversity impacts of oil palm development. CAB Reviews 5:1-11.
- Yasmi, Y., L. Kelley, and T. Enters. 2010. Conflict Over Forests and Land in Asia: Impacts, Causes, and Management. RECOFTC, Bangkok.
- Yeong, K. K., J. M. Lucey, and J. K. Hill. Unpublished data. Fragmentation disrupts rainforest regeneration.
- Yoshiba, K. 1964. Report of the preliminary survey on the orang-utan in North Borneo. Primates 5:11-26.
- Yuwono, E. H., P. Susanto, C. Saleh, N. Andayani, D. Prasetyo, and S. S. U. Atmoko 2007. Guidelines for the Better Management Practices on Avoidance, Mitigation and Management of Human-Orangutan Conflict in and around Oil Palm Plantations. WWF-Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia.

The Great Apes Survival Partnership (GRASP) is a unique alliance of over 100 national governments, research institutions, United Nations agencies, conservation organizations and private companies committed to ensuring the long-term survival of chimpanzees, orangutans, gorillas and bonobos and their habitats in Asia and Africa. Great apes occur in 23 countries across Africa and Asia, many of which are plagued by strife and civil unrest. GRASP focuses on cross-cutting issues such as illegal trade, habitat loss, disease monitoring, sustainable development, eco-tourism and transboundary collaborations, employing the latest technology, tools and resources to meet these challenges.





United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) PO Box 30552, 00100 Nairobi, Kenya www.un-grasp.org info@un-grasp.org